Cell Reports

In vitro atlas of dorsal spinal interneurons reveals Wnt signaling as a critical regulator of progenitor expansion

Graphical abstract



Authors

Sandeep Gupta, Riki Kawaguchi, Eric Heinrichs, ..., Igor Mandric, Bennett G. Novitch, Samantha J. Butler

Correspondence

butlersj@ucla.edu (S.J.B.)

In brief

Gupta et al. develop protocols to derive a full complement of bona fide spinal sensory interneurons from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). They further identify key cellular states that contribute to the interneuron diversity and identify Wnt signaling as a target to expand spinal progenitors *in vitro* for producing sensory interneurons in large quantities for therapeutic applications.

Highlights

- Creation of a full complement of spinal sensory interneurons from mouse ESCs
- ESC-derived and endogenous dls are transcriptionally indistinguishable
- BMP signaling regulates interneuron patterning and induces Wnt activation
- Wnt signaling regulates progenitor proliferation and expansion *in vitro*





Cell Reports

Article

In vitro atlas of dorsal spinal interneurons reveals Wnt signaling as a critical regulator of progenitor expansion

Sandeep Gupta,^{1,2} Riki Kawaguchi,³ Eric Heinrichs,^{1,4} Salena Gallardo,^{1,5} Stephanie Castellanos,^{1,2,6} Igor Mandric,⁷ Bennett G. Novitch,^{1,2,8} and Samantha J. Butler^{1,2,8,9,*}

¹Department of Neurobiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ²Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

³Department of Psychiatry, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁴Genetics and Genomics Graduate Program, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁵Molecular Biology Interdepartmental Graduate Program, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁶CIRM Bridges to Research Program, California State University, Northridge, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁷Department of Computer Science, Samueli School of Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁸Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities Research Center, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA ⁹Lead contact

*Correspondence: sandeepgupta@ucla.edu (S.G.), butlersj@ucla.edu (S.J.B.) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111119

SUMMARY

Restoring sensation after injury or disease requires a reproducible method for generating large quantities of bona fide somatosensory interneurons. Toward this goal, we assess the mechanisms by which dorsal spinal interneurons (dls; dl1–dl6) can be derived from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). Using two developmentally relevant growth factors, retinoic acid (RA) and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) 4, we recapitulate the complete *in vivo* program of dl differentiation through a neuromesodermal intermediate. Transcriptional profiling reveals that mESC-derived dls strikingly resemble endogenous dls, with the correct molecular and functional signatures. We further demonstrate that RA specifies dl4–dl6 fates through a default multipotential state, while the addition of BMP4 induces dl1–dl3 fates and activates Wnt signaling to enhance progenitor proliferation. Constitutively activating Wnt signaling permits the dramatic expansion of neural progenitor cultures. These cultures retain the capacity to differentiate into diverse populations of dls, thereby providing a method of increasing neuronal yield.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injuries (SCIs) can result in the loss of both coordinated movement and somatosensation (lonta et al., 2016; Lenggenhager et al., 2012), as well as the ability to perceive the environment. Without somatosensation, patients can no longer communicate through touch, sense danger through pain, or control the functions of the gut and bladder (Furusawa et al., 2011). Although stem-cell-based cellular replacement therapies have shown promise for SCI (Antonic et al., 2013; Kong et al., 2021), the focus so far has been on the recovery of coordinated motor function (Faravelli et al., 2014; Trawczynski et al., 2019). Less attention has been paid to regaining sensory functions, despite their critical roles ensuring physical and emotional well-being, and providing modulatory feedback to the motor system through proprioceptive pathways. Somatosensory information is received peripherally and then relayed centrally by different populations of dorsal spinal interneurons (dls) in the spinal cord. Each dl population

is specialized for distinct somatosensory modalities and forms microcircuits that span different layers of the dorsal horn (Gupta and Butler, 2021; Lai et al., 2016). For example, dl1s (proprioceptors) (Lai et al., 2011), dl2s, dl3s (both mechanosensors) (Bui et al., 2013; Haimson et al., 2020), and dl6 (gait) (Perry et al., 2019) are located in the deep layers of the dorsal horn, while dl4s and dl5s regulate pain, heat, and itch in the superficial layers of the dorsal horn (Koch et al., 2018). To replace damaged spinal tissue, it will be critical to derive a complete complement of dorsal sensory interneurons that molecularly and functionally phenocopy endogenous dls.

Toward this goal, we are working to identify the developmental mechanisms that specify sensory dls in the spinal cord and then apply these insights to develop protocols to derive dls from stem cells (Andrews et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 2018, 2021). Distinct classes of dls arise during embryonic development, when growth factors, including retinoic acid (RA) and the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), pattern six progenitor domains







(legend on next page)



(dP1-dP6), which differentiate into dI1-dI6 (Andrews et al., 2019; Gupta and Butler, 2021). The addition of RA and BMP4 in embryoid body (EB) protocols (Wichterle et al., 2002) can induce some dI fates in both mouse and human stem cell cultures (Andrews et al., 2017; Duval et al., 2019; Gupta et al., 2018). However, it has remained unclear how the addition of RA and BMP4 specifically induces dI fates, and whether the dIs generated from these protocols mirror their endogenous counterparts. BMPs pattern multiple organ systems throughout development, including the non-neuronal cardiac mesoderm (Kattman et al., 2011; Ladd et al., 1998), as well as osteogenic tissues (Kawaguchi et al., 2005). The BMPs also have reiterative activities both patterning dPs and controlling their proliferation to ensure that the precise number of specific dls are generated (Andrews et al., 2017; Ille et al., 2007). Although the Smad second messenger complex mediates dl patterning (Hazen et al., 2012; Le Dreau et al., 2012), the downstream signals regulating proliferation remain unresolved.

Here, we define RA ± BMP4 protocols that generate mouse embryonic stem cell (mESC)-derived dls via a neuromesodermal progenitor (NMP) intermediate (Gouti et al., 2014) that faithfully mimic the normal developmental programs of the neural tube (Delile et al., 2019; Rodrigo Albors et al., 2018; Sagner et al., 2018). This approach has successfully generated a complete atlas of in-vitro-derived dls. Transcriptional profiling of these dls demonstrated that they possess sensory function-specific gene signatures that strikingly resemble endogenous dls, indicating that our protocols generate bona fide dls. Using these protocols as an in vitro model for dorsal spinal cord development, we identified the hierarchy of fate specification decisions mediated by RA and BMP signaling. We further identify Wnt/β-catenin signaling as an immediate downstream response to BMP signaling that maintains neural progenitors in a mitotic state. Remarkably, elevating Wnt signaling, using the CHIR99021 (CHIR) agonist, can dramatically extend the proliferative capacity of dPs, while preserving their ability to differentiate into specific sensory neurons on demand.

Taken together, this study provides a mechanistic understanding of the developmental trajectories needed to generate the full complement of different dl identities. These insights were used to develop a protocol to expand the propagation of multipotential dPs, thereby paving the way for the production of *in-vitro*-derived dls needed for both drug screening and cellular transplantation therapies.

RESULTS

Establishment of 2-d protocols to direct mESCs toward dorsal spinal interneuron fates

To direct dI fates through an NMP intermediate, we adapted a mESC protocol previously used to derive ventral spinal neurons (Gouti et al., 2014; Sagner et al., 2018). In this protocol, treatment with basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and the Wnt agonist CHIR (Figure 1B) results in ~90% of mESCs acquiring a bipotential NMP identity by day 3 in culture (Figure S1A) distinguished by the co-expression of both mesodermal (brachyury (T)) and neural progenitor cell (NPC; Sox2) markers (Figure S1A).

Using the developmentally relevant growth factors (Figure 1A) previously shown to direct ESCs toward dorsal spinal fates (Andrews et al., 2017; Duval et al., 2019; Gupta et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2004), we then treated day 3 NMPs with 100 nM RA for 48 h either alone (protocol 1, Figure 1B) or in combination with 10 ng/ mL BMP4 starting at either day 3 (protocol 2) or day 4 (protocol 3). Treatment with RA alone did not activate BMP signaling (distinguished by the absence of phosphorylated [p] Smad1/5/ 8 staining; Figure 1C) and resulted in dorsalized neural cultures, with Pax3⁺ Pax6⁺ Sox2⁺ neural rosettes extending Tuj1⁺ neurites (arrowheads, Figures 1F and 1I). When BMP4 was added in combination with RA, BMP signaling was now activated (arrowheads, Figures 1D and 1E). However, the timing of BMP4 addition critically affected NMP identity. When BMP4 was added concomitantly with RA at day 3, no Pax3⁺ (Figure 1G) or Sox2⁺ (Figure 1J) cells were observed. The aggregates exhibited Tuj1 staining but had no apparent neuronal morphology (Figure 1J). In contrast, when RA was added at day 3, followed by BMP4 at day 4, we again observed Pax3⁺ Pax6⁺ Sox2⁺ neural rosettes, which robustly extended Tuj1⁺ neurites (arrowheads, Figures 1H and 1K).

Unbiased transcriptomic analyses identify the developmental trajectories leading to either spinal cord or cardiac fates

To assess the transcriptional changes resulting from the timed addition of RA \pm BMP4, we conducted bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) analyses along the timelines of the three protocols (Figure 1L). Principal-component (PC) analysis (PCA) (Son et al., 2018) revealed that PC1 and PC2 contribute 44% of the variation in the data and separate the least (D0, D3) from the most (D7, D9) differentiated samples (Figure S1B), while PC3

Figure 1. Distinct temporal combinations of RA and BMP4 direct different mESC identities

(A) Schematic of the source of patterning signals in the neural tube.

⁽B) Schematic of three RA \pm BMP4 protocols used to direct mESCs toward dorsal spinal cord identities through an NMP intermediate.

⁽C-E) Protocols 2 and 3, but not protocol 1, induce phosphorylated Smad1/5/8 (p-Smad1/5/8) by day 5 showing active BMP signaling.

⁽F–K) Rosettes expressing Sox2 (NPCs, red, I, K), Pax3 (dPs, red, F, H), Pax6 (dPs, green, F, H), and Tuj1 (neurites, green, I, K) are observed in protocols 1 and 3, but not in protocol 2 (G, J), by day 9.

⁽L) Timeline for bulk RNA-seq sample acquisition (n = 3).

⁽M) Principal-component analysis (PCA) identified similar trajectories for protocols 1 (red, R-branch) and 3 (blue, B-branch), which are distinct from the trajectory of protocol 2 (green, C-branch).

⁽N) Pearson correlation analysis showing the cells from protocols 1 (R9) and 3 (B9) are transcriptionally similar and distinct from those in protocol 2 (C9) at day 9. (O) Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) identified the successive downregulation of a rRNA biogenesis module implicated in the loss of pluripotency (Watanabe-Susaki et al., 2014; Woolnough et al., 2016). Conversely, neural modules are sequentially upregulated in the R- and B-branches, while a cardiac module is sequentially upregulated in the C-branch.

See Figure S1E for other modules. Scale bars: 100 µm (C-K).







(legend on next page)

contributes 15% variance and separates the samples from the different protocols (Figure S1C). A three-dimensional PCA plot combining PC1-PC3 reveals three differentiation trajectories (Figure 1M), connected by bifurcation points at days 3 and 4. The C-branch (RA + BMP4, protocol 2) follows a distinct trajectory from the R-branch (RA, protocol 1) after bifurcating at day 3, while the B-branch (RA + BMP4, protocol 3) bifurcates from the R-branch at day 4 (Figure 1M). The R- and B-branches follow similar trajectories and terminate in adjacent PCA spaces, distinct from the C-branch, suggesting that their differentiation program is largely equivalent. Supporting this conclusion, a Pearson correlation demonstrated R- and B-branch transcriptomes were the most similar to each other (Figure 1N).

We next examined whether the three protocols direct distinct differentiation outcomes, by assessing the groups of genes upregulated over time using weighted gene co-expression and network analysis (WGCNA) (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). Distinct eigengene modules (Farhadian et al., 2021; Panahi et al., 2020), i.e., a collection of genes with similar expression trends, were identified for each branch (Figure S1E). Of these, the yellow-green, dark gray, and green modules show increasing expression from day 0 to 9 for protocols 1, 3, and 2, respectively (Figure 1O). A functional enrichment analysis of these modules using Enrichr (Chen et al., 2013) revealed that both the yellowgreen and dark-gray gene modules were highly enriched for nervous-system-specific Gene Ontology (GO) terms (Z score > 30) (Figure 1O), supporting the hypothesis that protocols 1 and 3 promote neural identities. In contrast, the top GO term in the green module is "outflow tract septum" (Figure 1O), a structure that regulates the blood flow in the heart (Webb et al., 2003). Other cardiac-related terms, such as actomyosin, contractile actin filament, and stress fibers terms, are represented in the cellular component categories (Figure S1D). We additionally observed that multiple cardiac-specific genes are upregulated by day 9 in cells derived from protocol 2 (Figure S1F). Taken together, these analyses reveal the timeline over which RA and BMPs act sequentially to direct NMPs toward the neural lineages, rather than endocardial fates.

Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) of mESC-derived neurons identifies the full complement of spinal dls

To assess whether protocols 1 and 3 direct distinct classes of dls, we obtained single-cell transcriptomes from 9,704 cells using protocol 1 and 13,121 cells using protocol 3, taken at day 9 of



the differentiation procedure (Figure 2A). We performed Louvain clustering followed by Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) plots to visualize groups of transcriptionally distinct cell types (Becht et al., 2018). This pipeline identified 21 clusters of cells in both protocol 1 (Figure 2C) and protocol 3 (Figure 2D). In both conditions, \sim 30% of cells identify as being stressed; they cluster separately and display <2,000 RNA counts (Figures 2C, 2D, S2A, and S2B). Approximately 2%–4% of cells are pluripotent stem cells, marked by Sox2, Pou5f1 (Oct4), and Nanog expression, while 5%-8% of cells are non-neural, either of mesodermal or cardiac neural crest identity, expressing Twist1, Runx2, and Hand2 (Han et al., 2021; Soldatov et al., 2019; Vincentz et al., 2013) (Figures 2C, 2D, S2A, and S2B). The remaining \sim 60% of cells have a neural identity, either Sox2⁺ NPCs or Tubb3⁺ (class III β-tubulin, Tuj1) differentiated neurons (feature plots, Figures 2C and 2D).

To distinguish the neuronal subtypes, we subclustered the Tubb3⁺ cells, resulting in 14 (protocol 1) and 13 (protocol 2) transcriptionally distinct clusters (Figures 2E and 2F). We then used a well-characterized panel of transcription factors (Figure 2B) to assign dl identities to these clusters (Gupta and Butler, 2021; Lai et al., 2016). This analysis revealed that protocol 1 primarily generates dl4, dl5, and dl6 that mediate pain, itch, and heat perception, while protocol 3 most notably generates dl1, dl2, and dl3 that regulate proprioception, gait, and mechanosensation. Specifically, in protocol 1, the majority of subclustered Tubb3⁺ cells divide into two major groups of closely aligned clusters (Figures 2B, 2E, and S2C): one group (clusters 7, 2, 0, and 1) expresses Lmx1b, Prrxl1, and Tlx1/3, which define dl5 identity (Lai et al., 2016); the second group (clusters 6, 12, 13, 4, 5, and 3) co-expresses Pax2 and Lhx1, which denote dl4/dl6 identity. Of these clusters, only cluster 6 also expresses Dmrt3, an established marker of dl6s (Andersson et al., 2012). The neurotransmitter profile supports these fate designations: phenocopying endogenous neurons (Figure 2B), the dl4/dl6 clusters express Gad2, a GABA-synthesizing enzyme (Pillai et al., 2007), while the dI5 clusters express SIc17a6 (VGlut2), a glutamate transporter specific to excitatory neurons (Figure 2E) (Cheng et al., 2004). Of the remaining four clusters, clusters 8 and 9 map to dl1 and dl2 identities (Figure S2C), while cluster 10 is enriched for neural- and neuroendocrine-specific genes, and cluster 11 is enriched for ribosomal genes (Table S1).

In protocol 3, the subclustered *Tubb3*⁺ cells divide into three groups of closely aligned clusters (Figures 2B, 2F, and

Figure 2. Single-cell sequencing (sc-seq) identifies relevant spinal-function-specific modules in in-vitro-derived dls

(A) Timeline for sc sequencing of protocols 1 and 3 (n = 1).

Isl1⁺ dl3 populations. The pie chart represents percentage of dl1–dl6 clusters; ~9.5% of cells are unknown neural identity (see Table S1, cluster 3). As in *in vivo* (B), the dl1–dl3 clusters express excitatory markers, *Slc17a2* and *Grin2b*.

(G) Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the genes upregulated (logFC > 0.2) in the indicated clusters reveal that the relevant sensory/motor signatures are enriched in the *in-vitro*-derived dls.

⁽B) Schematic showing the position of dPs and dIs in the spinal cord. dl1–dl6 mediate distinct functionalities and can be distinguished by distinct transcription factors.

⁽C and D) UMAP projection of day 9 cells derived from RA (C) and RA + BMP4 conditions (D). Feature plots show the Sox2⁺ NPCs and Tubb3 (Tuj1⁺) differentiated neurons.

⁽E) Protocol 1: sub-clustering of the *Tubb3*⁺ neuronal clusters (C) identified 14 clusters (3,394 cells). Feature plots show $Pax2^+Lhx1^+$ dl4/dl6, $Lmx1b^+$ dl5, and $Dmrt3^+$ dl6 populations. The pie chart depicts the percentage of dl1–dl6s obtained, only ~8.5% of neuronal cells of unknown identity (see Table S1). Concurring with the *in vivo* functionality (B), the dl4/dl6 clusters express *Gad2* (inhibitory neurons), while the dl5 clusters express *Slc17a6* (VGlut2, excitatory neurons). (F) Protocol 3: sub-clustering of the *Tuj1*⁺ neuronal clusters shown in (D) identified 13 clusters (3,967 cells). Feature plots show $Lhx2^+Barh1^+$ dl1, *Foxd3*⁺ dl2, and



(legend on next page)

S2D): clusters 7, 1, 0, and 8 express *Lhx2/9* and *Barhl1/2*, denoting dl1 identity; clusters 11, 5, and 6 express the dl2 markers *Foxd3*, *Lhx1*, and *Lhx5*, while clusters 12 and 10 express *Isl1* and *Tlx3*, indicating dl3s. As *in vivo*, all three classes of *in-vitro*-derived neurons are excitatory, expressing either *Slc17a6* or *Grin2b* (Figure 2F). Of the remaining four clusters, clusters 2 and 9 express *Atoh1* and *Neurog1*, respectively, which mark the dP1 and dP2 state and suggest these cells are immature dl1s and dl2s (Figure S2D); cluster 4 expresses dl4-specific markers (Figure S2D), and cluster 3 expresses multiple ribosomal and mitochondrial genes indicative of dying neurons (llicic et al., 2016) (Table S1).

In summary, protocol 1 (RA) generates ~33% dl4s, 40.5% dl5s, 8% dl6s, 5% dl1s, 5% dl2s, and 8.5% unknown neural cell types (pie chart, Figure 2E). In contrast, protocol 3 (RA + BMP4) generates ~45.5% dl1s, 29% dl2s, 8% dl3s, 8% dl4s, and 9.5% unknown cell types (pie chart, Figure 2F). Both immunohistochemical and qRT-PCR analyses further support these designations (Figures S2E–S2H).

GO analyses of ESC-derived dls identify sensory modality-specific ontology modules

We next assessed whether the functional identities of these *in-vi-tro*-derived neurons could be predicted from the transcriptomic data. *In vivo*, the dls relay diverse somatosensory modalities either locally within the spinal cord (Fernandes et al., 2016; Todd, 2017) or by long-range afferent connections (Wercberger and Basbaum, 2019). Generally, the most dorsal populations regulate proprioception (dl1/dl2) (Sakai et al., 2012; Yuengert et al., 2015) and touch-induced mechanosensation (dl3s) (Bui et al., 2013), while the more intermediate classes form relay circuits for pain, itch, heat, and touch (dl4/dl5) (Koch et al., 2018; Todd, 2010). The dl6s regulate coordinated movement by forming inhibitory synapses with spinal motor neurons, while themselves receiving cholinergic and glutamatergic inputs (Perry et al., 2019).

To identify functionally relevant GOs, we selected the cluster for each dl population that highly expressed the differentiation markers (>0.2 log fold change [FC]) and subjected them to the DAVID pipeline (Huang et al., 2009a, 2009b), which identifies enriched biological processes and signaling pathways related to diseases or drugs (Figure 2G). Remarkably, each class of ESCderived dls displayed the relevant modality-specific signatures. For the dorsal-most neurons, we analyzed clusters 0 (dl1), 5



(dl2), and 10 (dl3) and specifically found enriched GO categories related to balance and walking behaviors, as well as excitatory synaptic signaling. Both dl1 and dl2 clusters additionally include proprioception-related terms (blue terms, Figure 2G; Table S2). For the more intermediate neurons, we analyzed clusters 4 (dl4), 1 (dl5), and 6 (dl6). The dl4/dl5 clusters include GO terms associated with pain and itch perception, specifically implicating both oxytocin, a neuropeptide that modulates pain processing (Boll et al., 2018), and β -alanine, an amino acid that induces itch (Liu et al., 2012). As in vivo, the dl4 cluster contains terms related to inhibitory synaptic signaling, while the dI5 cluster is enriched for excitatory synaptic terms (red terms, Figure 2G; Table S2). In contrast, the dI6 cluster contains terms associated with coordinated movement and synaptic signaling pathways, accurately reflecting their functional identity. Finally, we also found enriched terms in the dI clusters related to different drug addiction pathways, including amphetamine and endocannabinoids for dl1/dl2s, and amphetamine, morphine, and cocaine for dl4/dl5s (Figures 2G and S3A; Table S2). Such signatures suggest the dls mediate the ability of psychoactive drugs to modulate pain and itch perception (Lipman and Yosipovitch, 2021) or proprioception (Downey et al., 2017). Other dl-subtype markers identified in this analysis include receptors, ion channels, and adhesion molecules (Figures S3A and S3B; Table S4).

ESC-derived dls are transcriptionally indistinguishable from endogenous spinal interneurons

To assess whether the ESC-derived dls are also transcriptionally similar to their *in vivo* counterparts, we used reciprocal PCA-based data integration and label transfer (Hao et al., 2021) to compare a single-cell dataset taken from embryonic stage (E) 9.5–E13.5 mouse spinal cords (Delile et al., 2019) with the *in-vi-tro*-derived cell types in protocols 1 and 3. Projecting the combined *in vivo* and *in vitro* datasets into UMAP plots revealed a remarkable degree of overlap (Figures 3A–3C). This overlap was observed only for the neural progenitor and neural identities; the blood, mesoderm, neural crest, and skin lineages present in the *in vivo* dataset were notably absent from the *in vitro* datasets (Figure 3A). Although cells from protocols 1 and 3 mapped directly on top of the spinal cord cells (Figure 3B), these three datasets were distinct from trachea, lung, and kidney cells (Tabula Muris Consortium et al., 2018) (Figure 3E).

To compare the distribution of cell types in the *in vivo* and *in vitro* datasets, we performed unsupervised clustering on an

Figure 3. Stem-cell-derived sensory interneurons resemble their endogenous counterparts

(G) dl-specific cells were extracted from both the *in vitro* protocols and *in vivo* spinal cord dataset and then plotted in the integrated UMAP space with *in vitro* cells on top of *in vivo* cells.

⁽A) Integrated UMAP plot of the sc-seq datasets from the *in vitro* differentiations and the *in vivo* embryonic spinal cord (E9.5–E13.5) (Delile et al., 2019). Cell-type labels from the *in vivo* dataset were projected onto the *in vitro* dataset.

⁽B) Integrated UMAP plot highlighting the overlap between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* cells. An atlas of these combined datasets with dl annotation can be found here (https://www.dropbox.com/s/kau8oc7t6i5lchl/dl map_Briscoe_in vitro combined_3D_interactive.html?dl=0; file opens after being downloaded).

⁽C) Clustering of the integrated dataset yields 24 shared clusters, with 6 clusters unique to the in vivo dataset.

⁽D) Dot plot of the 24 shared clusters showing the expression levels of dI marker genes.

⁽E) Integration of the *in vivo* spinal cord and *in vitro* datasets with lung, kidney, and trachea datasets (Tabula Muris Consortium) shows the *in vitro* cells overlap only with spinal cord cells.

⁽F) UMAP plots of the neuronal portion of the integrated datasets. The *in vivo* dls are colored using the *in vivo* dataset annotations. Each dl population was then overlaid onto the *in vitro* datasets (black) from either protocol 1 (RA) or 3 (RA + BMP4). Substantial overlap was seen for dl1–dl6, while no overlap was observed for motor neurons (pink).





CellPress OPEN ACCESS



(legend on next page)

-01 de cladice fold change -0 -01 de cladice fold change -0

integrated dataset, yielding a total of 29 clusters (Figure 3C); 24 clusters (0-10 and 12-25) were common to all datasets, while 6 clusters were unique to the spinal cord dataset. A dot plot analysis of dl-specific markers within the shared 24 clusters showed striking similarities between the in-vivo- and in-vitro-derived datasets (Figure 3D). Although there are modest differences, there is overall concordance between both the number of cells expressing a given dI marker and the strength of marker expression in the in vivo and in vitro cell clusters. This concordance continues as the neurons mature, demonstrated by the expression of neuropeptides, which modulate the intensity of sensory inputs in the adult spinal cord (Lai et al., 2016; Russ et al., 2021). Specific neuropeptides, including prepronociceptin (pnoc; dl2, dl4), neuromedin S (nms; dl4), neuropeptide Y (npy; dl4), and gastrinreleasing peptide (grp; dl1, dl5), are enriched in the same populations of dls in both the in vitro and in vivo datasets (Figures S3C and S3D). Thus, the ESC-derived dls appear to proceed along the same maturation process as endogenous spinal neurons.

Finally, to assess whether the *in-vitro*-derived dl populations specifically mirror their endogenous counterparts, we compared the protocol 1 versus protocol 3 datasets with an atlas of the neuronal classes of the spinal cord (Figure 3F), generated using the annotation provided by Delile et al. (2019). The *in vivo* dl1–dl3s and dl4–dl6s map to identical positions in protocol 3 (RA + BMP4) and protocol 1 (RA), respectively (Figure 3F). In contrast, there was no overlap between the ventral spinal neurons, such as the motor neurons (MNs), with the *in vitro* datasets (Figure 3F and data not shown). We also computationally extracted the cells expressing specific dl subtype markers from the *in vitro* and *in vivo* datasets and projected them in the same UMAP space (Figure 3G). This analysis further demonstrated that all six classes of *in-vitro*-derived dls are transcriptionally indistinguishable from their spinal cord-derived counterparts.

Taken together, these studies suggest that our *in vitro* protocols are accurately replicating the *in vivo* developmental program of the spinal cord permitting the generation of bona fide sensory interneurons.

RA activates a transcriptional network that specifies a dl4-dl6 default state

Using the directed differentiation protocols, we investigated the unresolved mechanisms by which RA and BMP direct dI fate specification. We first assessed the genetic basis of RA-mediated fate decisions. During the 24-h pulse of RA at day 3, *Pax3* levels gradually increase, whereas *T* expression concomitantly declines (Figure S5A). By day 4, ~90%–95% of cells are Pax3⁺ (Figure S5B),



indicating NMPs have transitioned to a dorsal neural progenitor state (dP state1) (Figure S4A). To identify the transcriptional changes occurring during this first commitment step, we performed bulk RNA-seq at day 3 (NMP state), day 3.25 (early transcriptional response to RA), and day 4 (dP state 1) (Figure S4B).

The differential expression analysis identified 138 upregulated and 19 downregulated genes after 6 h of RA treatment (Figure S4C). Upregulated genes include known RA-regulated factors, such as *Meis1*, *Meis2*, *Stra8*, *Cyp26a1*, and *Rarb*, confirming that cells are directly responding to RA. By 24 h of RA exposure, 389 genes were significantly upregulated, including genes present in intermediate dPs and the dI4/dI5s (Figure S4D), while 590 genes were significantly downregulated, which include mesodermal-specific genes (Figures S4E–S4G). These findings support the hypothesis that RA induces NMPs toward intermediate spinal identities, while also directing them away from mesodermal fates, thereby ensuring the transition from an NMP to Pax3⁺ dP state 1.

We next assessed whether the dP state 1 can be defined as a function-specific interaction network, by subjecting the upregulated genes to a Metascape protein-protein interaction (PPI) analysis (Zhou et al., 2019). At 6 h, a nascent PPI network exists, with one functional module for embryonic patterning containing the RA-target genes Meis1 and Meis2 (Figure S4H, inset). However, by 24 h, the PPI network has expanded into 13 functional modules (Figures S4I and S5C). Four modules, insulin-like signaling, pattern specification, sensory organ morphogenesis, and peptide ligand receptor, contained genes expressed in the intermediate spinal cord (insets, Figures S4I and S5C). Notably, Meis1 and Meis2 interact with Pax6, Lmx1b, Msx1, and Msx2 in the pattern specification module. Using both gRT-PCR (Figure S5D) and transcriptional profiling of untreated day 4 cells (no RA control), or after a 24-h pulse of RA \pm AGN193109, a pan-RAR inhibitor (Figure S4J), we independently validated that Meis1 and Meis2, but not Meis3, are upregulated by RA, including many patterning genes. Thus, Meis1/2 are good candidates for the key transcription factors that link RA signaling to the initiation of spinal cord patterning, i.e., dP state 1.

Wnt signaling is induced as an immediate consequence of BMP4 treatment

We hypothesized that the addition of BMP4 to NPCs in dP state 1 directs them to a more dorsal dP state, i.e., dP state 2 (Figure S4A). To define the transcriptional changes occurring after BMP4 addition, we performed bulk RNA-seq at day 4 (dP state 1), day 4.25 (early transcriptional response to RA + BMP4), and

Figure 4. Wrts are upregulated as an immediate response to BMP4 signaling in mESC-derived NPCs

(A) Timelines for bulk RNA-seq analysis of protocol 3 (n = 3).

(F) qRT-PCR validation of selected Wnt genes under RA, RA + BMP4, and RA + BMP4 + noggin conditions at day 5. Wnt expression was significantly upregulated by BMP4 but reduced in the presence of noggin. n = 3 differentiations. The data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. Significance is determined by two-way ANOVA (Tukey's multiple comparison test), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.005.

⁽B) Venn diagram showing the overlap of genes significantly upregulated after 6 and 24 h of RA + BMP4 treatment (logFC > 2, false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.01). 78 genes were common to both comparisons.

⁽C) GO analysis of the 78 common genes shows the upregulation of the Wnt signaling pathway in all three GO categories: biological processes, molecular processes, and pathway categories.

⁽D) Heatmap showing the expression (FPKM, N = 3) of 19 Wnt ligands at day 4 (dP state1), day 4.25 (immediate response to BMP4), and day 5 (dP state2). (E) Volcano plots showing upregulated genes at 6 and 24 h of RA + BMP4 treatment. Multiple Wnt ligands and Wnt pathway genes are highly upregulated in both conditions.



day 5 (dP state 2) (Figure 4A). We identified 106 genes upregulated by 6 h and 305 genes upregulated after 24 h of BMP4 exposure (Figure S6A). Seventy-eight genes, out of 411, were common between both time points (Figures 4B and S6B). The GO analysis of these 78 common genes included the BMP signaling pathway (Figure 4C; Table S3), confirming that the analysis identified BMP-responsive genes.

In addition, we also found enriched GO terms related to Wnt signaling across three functional categories (Figure 4C). Both Wnt ligands, including *Wnt1*, *Wnt2*, *Wnt3*, *Wnt3a*, and *Wnt4*, and Wnt receptors, *frizzled* (*Fzd*) 8, *Fzd3*, *Fzd10*, and *Lrp6* (Figure S6C), were upregulated in response to BMP4 treatment, along with Wnt signaling regulators, such as *Dkk*, *Lmx1a* (Hoekstra et al., 2013), and *Bambi* (Zhao et al., 2020) (Figures 4D, 4E, and S6B). Selected Wnt-signaling genes were further assessed in a qPCR analysis of day 5 NMPs to validate whether they are BMP4 responsive. We found that expression of all tested genes significantly increased after the addition of BMP4, compared with the RA alone condition (Figure 4F). The upregulation of *Wnt* expression was suppressed if Noggin, a BMP inhibitor, was concomitantly added with BMP4 on day 4 (Figure 4F).

Many of the upregulated Wnts, *Wnt1*, *Wnt3a*, and *Wnt4*, are expressed in the developing dorsal spinal cord *in vivo* (Agalliu et al., 2009; Daneman et al., 2009) and signal through the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (MacDonald et al., 2009), suggesting that canonical Wnt signaling mediates the ability of BMP4 to direct the dP state 1-to-dP state 2 transition.

Inhibiting canonical Wnt signaling blocks the induction of the dorsal-most fates

To assess the role of canonical Wnt signaling in dI fate specification, we added endo-IWR1 (IWR1e), a small-molecule inhibitor that blocks β -catenin function (Chen et al., 2009) to dPs from day 4 to 6 (Figure 5A). By day 9, the RA + BMP4 condition robustly induces dI1s-dI3s (Figures 5F–5I and 5N–5P). In contrast, the addition of IWR1e resulted in the loss of dI1s and dI2s (Figures 5J, 5K, 5N, and 5O), while dI3 differentiation was less affected (Figures 5L and 5P).

BMP4 directs the dorsal-most fates (dl1–dl3), while concomitantly suppressing RA-dependent intermediate dorsal fates (dl4– dl6; Figures 5E, 5I, S6D, and S6E). Supporting a role for the Wnts mediating this latter activity, there is no suppression of Pax2⁺ dl4/dl6 and Lmx1b⁺ dl5 fates when IWR1e is added to the dP cultures, along with RA + BMP4 (Figures 5M and 5Q). The addition of IWR1e did not erode Pax3⁺ pan-dorsal identity or *Pax7* expression, but did suppress Olig3 (Figure S6F), which may contribute to the loss of dl1/dl2 and perdurance of the dl4–dl6 fates.

Together, these results demonstrate that the BMP4-dependent dl fates require canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. In its absence, BMP4-mediated suppression is lost, and dPs retain their intermediate spinal cord identity.

Wnt signaling promotes BMP4-dependent fates by regulating proliferation, not patterning

Previous studies have suggested that Whts act either as mitogens (Dickinson et al., 1994; Megason and McMahon, 2002) or patterning factors (Alvarez-Medina et al., 2008; Muroyama

Cell Reports Article

et al., 2002) in the specification of the dorsal spinal cord. We assessed these models, first by determining the effect of canonical Wnts (Wnt1, Wnt2, Wnt3a, and Wnt4), a non-canonical Wnt (Wnt9b), and a small-molecule Wnt agonist (CHIR99021) on dl identity in the RA \pm BMP4 protocols. When Wnts were added together with RA, during the period when NPCs are competent to respond to patterning factors, they were unable to induce the dorsal-most dls (Figure S7A). Similarly, when the Wnts were added concomitantly with BMP4, there was no synergistic effect on the specification of dorsal spinal identity (Figures 6A and 6B). Thus, Wnts are not sufficient to direct dl fate identity.

We next asked whether activating Wnt signaling affected the mitotic index of mESC-derived NPCs after the patterning period. To better visualize proliferation, we allowed mESCs to form EBs (Andrews et al., 2017; Duval et al., 2019; Gupta et al., 2018) and then treated them with RA \pm BMP4 over the same timeline as protocols 1 and 3 (Figure 1B) to induce dorsal spinal cord patterning. EBs were then cultured with either DMSO or CHIR from day 5 to 9 (Figure 6C) and finally pulsed with 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) to label S-phase cells. Strikingly, there was an \sim 3-fold increase in EdU⁺ cells in CHIR-treated EBs (Figures 6E, 6G, and 6H) compared with DMSO control (Figures 6D, 6F, and 6H) in both protocols. Similarly, the CHIRtreated EBs had an ~2- to 4-fold increase in the number of pHistone H3 (pH3)⁺ cells in M-phase, compared with control (Figures 6D-6G and 6I). Many of the dividing cells in the CHIRtreated cultures were Sox2⁺ NPCs (Figures 6E and 6G), while NPCs are largely depleted by day 9 in control cultures (Figures 6D and 6F). Thus, extending the window of Wnt signaling prolongs NPC proliferation without affecting their original identity, i.e., NPCs from protocol 1 expressed Pax3 and Pax7, while NPCs from protocol 3 expressed Pax3 and Olig3 (Figures 6J-6P).

Together, these studies support the hypothesis that Wnt/ β -catenin signaling functions as a mitogen for dorsal spinal NPCs, rather than specifying distinct dl identities.

Wnt/ β -catenin signaling can be modulated to extend the timeline of dP patterning

Finally, we assessed whether the mitogenic properties of Wnt signaling can be leveraged to expand the pool of mESC-derived NPCs. RA ± BMP4-patterned EBs were serially passaged at 1:3 dilution every 7 days in the presence of DMSO (control) or CHIR (Figure 7A). Although the number of EBs was comparable in the DMSO and CHIR conditions on the first passage, they declined sharply by the second passage in the controls (Figures 7B, 7C, 7E,7F, 7G, 7I, S7B, and S7C). By the third passage, few EBs were observed in the DMSO condition, while the number of CHIR-treated EBs had increased by ~3- to 6-fold (Figures 7E, 7I, S7B, and S7C). The ability of CHIR to expand the number of EBs was observed in both RA ± BMP4 conditions but was most robust for the RA + BMP4-patterned EBs (Figures 7E and 7I). CHIR-treated EBs remained Sox2⁺ after multiple passages, suggesting that they maintained their NPC identity (Figures 7D, 7H, S7D, and S7E).

To examine whether expanded NPCs can differentiate, we dissociated RA \pm BMP4 CHIR-treated EBs at passages 6 (Figure 7J) or 7 (data not shown) onto Matrigel-coated plates and





Figure 5. Wnt/ β -catenin signaling is required for BMP4-mediated neuronal diversity

(A) Timeline to assess the requirement for Wnt signaling in the induction of dI fates.

(B–Q) Protocol 1 induces Pax2⁺ dl4/dl6s (E and Q) and Lmx1b⁺ dl5s (E), while protocol 3 induces the Lhx2⁺ dl1s (F and N), Foxd3⁺ dl2s (G and O), and Isl1⁺ dl3s (H and P). The addition of IWR1e to protocol 3 dramatically reduces the number of dl1s and dl2s (J, K, N, and O), more modestly decreases the dl3s (L and P), and concomitantly increases the dl4–dl6s (M and Q). n = 3 differentiations for qRT-PCR.

Significance tests: one-way ANOVA, *p < 0.05, **p < 0 .005, ***p < 0.0005. Scale bar: 100 $\mu m.$

cultured them in the presence of CHIR, DMSO (i.e., CHIR withdrawal), or DAPT, a pro-differentiation agent (Crawford and Roelink, 2007) (Figure 7J). By day 10, while the CHIR-treated cultures remained Sox2⁺ (Figures 7K, 7N, and 7Q), the DMSO-treated cultures show robust induction of post-mitotic neural markers, *neuronal nuclei* (*NeuN/Rbfox3*), and *Tubb3* (Figure 7Q) and contained many Tuj1⁺ neurites (Figures 7L and 7O). Thus, the removal of CHIR triggers spontaneous neural differentiation. The addition of DAPT did not significantly augment *NeuN* and *Tubb3* expression compared with DMSO (Figure 7Q), although there was increased sprouting of Tuj⁺ neurites (Figures 7M and 7P).

Figure 6. Wnt/ β -catenin signaling mediates proliferation, not patterning, of mESC-derived spinal NPCs

(A) Timeline to assess whether Wnt signaling modulates the BMP-mediated dI fates through patterning activities.

(B) qRT-PCR analyses for d11–d13 marker genes show that neither Wnts (100 ng/mL) nor CHIR (5 μ M) affect (one-way ANOVA) the BMP4-mediated dI fates. Expression levels were normalized to the day 0 and RA condition (n = 2).

We next asked whether specific dl fates are preserved in the expanded NPC pool. By day 10 (timeline in Figure 7J), passage 6/7 cultures derived from RA-patterned EBs contained many Lmx1b⁺ dl5s, but only a few Pax2⁺ dl4/dl6s, while cultures from the RA + BMP4-patterned EBs contained both Isl1⁺ dl3s and Lhx1b⁺ dl5s, but almost no Lhx2⁺ dl1s or Foxd3⁺ dl2s (Figures S7I, S7J, 7R, 7T, and 7U). Thus, although some patterning information is preserved over many passages, prolonged CHIR treatment erodes its fidelity, with RA + BMP4 dPs now expressing high levels of Pax7 and low levels of Olig3, as if they have been returned to an intermediate spinal identity (Figures S7G and S7H). However, dorsal patterning information can be restored by including a pulse of RA \pm BMP4 between days 3 and 5 during the differentiation of the expanded EBs on Matrigel (Figure 7J). The addition of RA resulted in an ~6-fold increase in the number of dl4/dl6s (Figures 7S and 7X), while the addition of RA + BMP4 induced \sim 6-fold more dl1s and \sim 2-fold dl2s (Figures 7V and 7X), compared with control (Figures 7R, 7T, and 7X). Unexpectedly, the pulse of RA + BMP4 suppressed the dl3 fate (Figures 7U, 7W, and 7X). This strategy was successful at restoring almost all dl identities in cultures taken from EBs both at the earliest passage and at the latest passage assessed (passage 7; Figure 7X).

Thus, these data show that the chemical activation of the canonical Wnt signaling can be successfully used to expand the size of the mESC-derived NPC population, and thereby to derive large numbers of specific dI populations.

DISCUSSION

Stem-cell-based directed differentiation protocols result in both a source of *in-vitro*-derived cell types and a model system to dissect developmental mechanisms. We have built on previous studies that derive spinal motor neurons *in vitro* from an NMP intermediate (Gouti et al., 2014; Sagner et al., 2018), to develop protocols that produce a complete *in vitro* atlas of dorsal spinal interneurons. Our transcriptomic analyses suggest that these neurons are indistinguishable, both molecularly and functionally, from their endogenous counterparts. We then used these protocols to investigate the mechanisms that regulate dl fate choices. These studies identified the hierarchy of dl fate specification and resolved the role of Wnt signaling as a mitogen in this process. We leveraged this mechanistic understanding to expand the numbers of dPs as a means of increasing dl yield.

Hierarchy of dl fate specification

Previous studies have shown that NMPs contribute to spinal cord and paraxial mesodermal lineages both *in vivo* (Attardi et al., 2018; Tzouanacou et al., 2009) and *in vitro* (Gouti et al., 2014). Our transcriptomic analyses revealed that the dl differentiation program

conforms to the canonical Waddington model (Rajagopal and Stanger, 2016; Waddington, 1957), where NMPs are at the top of a "hill" of possible fates, and the time and sequence in which they receive RA and BMP4 signals control their differentiation into dls versus mesodermal fates. Thus, the addition of RA at day 3 channels NMPs toward the intermediate dl fates. However, if BMP4 is added concomitantly with RA, the differentiation trajectory alters to specify endocardial lineages. BMP4 can induce only the dorsal-most dl fates after 24 h of RA treatment, suggesting that NMPs must move through an RA-induced early-competency state (dP state 1) before BMP4 can relay dorsal spinal patterning information. These studies thereby inadvertently developed a directed differentiation protocol (protocol 2) that is a starting point to derive the cardiac cell types needed to regenerate the heart (Duelen and Sampaolesi, 2017). BMP4 may direct NMPs to form lateral plate mesoderm (Row et al., 2018), which contributes multiple cell types to the embryonic heart. This finding also suggests that NMPs may have more pluripotency for mesodermal fates than previously realized. In this case, the use of alternative growth factors in protocol 2 will direct NMPs toward additional mesodermal derivatives.

Our studies suggest that RA is required to establish dP state 1, before specifying the dl4-dl6 fates. The Meis1/2 genes, which are dynamically expressed in the early spinal cord neuroepithelium (Sánchez-Guardado et al., 2011), may be central to this competency state. Meis1/2 are among the earliest genes to be induced by RA (Gouti et al., 2017; Oulad-Abdelghani et al., 1997), and they become central to a gene interaction network that contains the genes that specify intermediate spinal identity. Thus, the upregulation of Meis1/2 may drive the multipotential progenitor state (dP state 1) that differentiates into dI4-dI6. The addition of BMP4 both suppresses dI4-dI6 fates and induces another multipotent state, dP state2, that differentiates into dl1-dl3. Interestingly, dP state1 may be a default state, which was first suggested by earlier studies in the intermediate spinal cord (Diez Del Corral et al., 2003; Novitch et al., 2003). When RA + BMP4-patterned progenitors are expanded, they appear to revert to the default dP state1 identity. This reversion can in turn be reversed: a pulse of RA + BMP4 remains sufficient to convert dP state 1 back to dP state 2 and elicit formation of the dorsal-most dls.

Extent to which *in-vitro*-derived dls phenocopy endogenous sensory interneurons

Our studies significantly extend previous studies seeking to generate dls (Andrews et al., 2017; Duval et al., 2019; Gupta et al., 2018) by directly assessing the heterogeneity of the cultures and documenting the extent to which stem-cell-derived dls resemble their endogenous counterparts. Using scRNA-seq analyses, we identified that our protocols generate the full

(J-P) IHC (J-M) and qRT-PCR (N-P) for Pax3 (red, J–M; all dPs), Olig3 (green, J–M; dP1–dP3), and Pax7 (blue, J; dP4–dP6) demonstrated that CHIR treatment increases the numbers of NPCs but does not significantly (unpaired t test) alter their dorsal-ventral identities (n = 3 differentiations for qRT-PCR). Significance values: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005. Scale bar: 200 μ m.

⁽C) Embryoid body (EB) differentiation timeline to assess the effect of activating Wnt signaling on the NPC proliferation. Samples were collected at day 9 for immunohistochemistry (IHC) and qRT-PCR.

⁽D–I) Both EdU incorporation (red, D–G; S-phase) and phosphorylated histone H3 staining (blue, D–G; pH3, M-phase) show that CHIR treatment increases cell proliferation by ~3-fold in RA ± BMP4-patterned EBs, compared with DMSO control, resulting in increased numbers of Sox2⁺ NPCs (green, D–G). Significance was determined using one-way ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis test) (n = 15–25 EBs).

(legend on next page)

complement of dls, that there is no heterogeneity beyond dorsal spinal derivatives in the Tubb3⁺ cells, and that the transcriptomes of in-vitro- and in-vivo-derived dls are indistinguishable. In-vitroderived dls express the correct patterning factors and neurotransmitters, and display the relevant functional GO terms, suggesting they encode the correct sensory modalities. For example, balance-related GO terms were enriched in dl1, dl2, dl3, and dl6, which regulate proprioception and gait, while pain and itch GO terms were enriched for dl4 and dl5, which relay noxious stimuli in vivo. We also find GO terms related to serotonergic and dopaminergic synapses, which may permit communication between the spinal cord and enteric nervous system. This signature is associated with the synapses formed between spinal neurons in the dorsal horn and the descending afferents from the brainstem (Schwaller et al., 2017) and diencephalon (Skagerberg and Lindvall, 1985), which regulate both pain perception (Gautier et al., 2017) and sensation in gut (Travagli et al., 2006) and bladder (Hou et al., 2021). These circuits are of considerable therapeutic importance, given that spinal injuries can lead to both irritable bowel syndrome (Holmes and Blanke, 2019) and loss of bladder control (Hou et al., 2021; Taweel and Seyam, 2015). In addition, we identified gene signatures related to addictive psychoactive compounds like morphine, cocaine, and amphetamine, suggesting the dls are the cellular targets for these drugs, elevating their importance for drug screening platforms.

Role and application of Wnt signaling as a mitogen

The BMPs have reiterative roles regulating dI fate specification and modulating the cell cycle (Andrews et al., 2017; Ille et al., 2007). Here, we demonstrate that the control of proliferation is mediated through the activation of Wnt signaling. Like the BMPs, multiple Wnt genes, especially those that activate the canonical Wnt/ β -catenin pathway, are expressed in the developing dorsal spinal cord (Agalliu et al., 2009). The BMP and Wnt pathways are known to interact in other contexts, including during neural crest delamination (Burstyn-Cohen et al., 2004) and the assignment of hematopoietic fates (Lengerke et al., 2008). However, the role of the Wnts in the spinal cord has remained unresolved. Previous *in vivo* studies ascribed both dI fate specification (Muroyama et al., 2002; Zechner et al., 2007) and mitogenic roles (Ille et al., 2007; Megason and McMahon, 2002) to the dorsal

Whts. Our data unambiguously showed that the Whts tested so far are not sufficient to pattern dI fates, rather they function as mitogens in this context, perhaps controlling the size of the dorsalmost dI populations. It remains unresolved as to why there are so many Whts present in the spinal cord *in vivo*. One possibility is that they have signal-specific activities, like the BMPs (Andrews et al., 2017), sculpting the number of cells in each dI population. This mechanistic insight enabled us to expand mESC-derived spinal cultures by adding CHIR to constitutively activate Wnt/ β -catenin signaling after the patterning stages. Remarkably, this manipulation sustained dorsal spinal neural identity while enabling the dP to proliferate for more than eight passages (the maximum number we attempted).

This protocol modification may represent a key step toward the long-sought goal of being able to supply specific neural populations in a limitless manner. Previous neurosphere studies have suggested that immortalized cells lose their neurogenic potential over time (Olivos-Cisneros et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2006). We rather find, in this expansion protocol, that even the progenitors from the latest passages we tried (passages 5–7) retain the ability to differentiate as neurons. However, while neurogenesis remains stable, the patterning information does erode over time. Cell-cycle duration has been shown to regulate cell fate by acting as a filter for long transcripts (Abou Chakra et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2013). Such mechanisms may erode cellular identity (i.e., dP state 2) when NPCs are held in an extended proliferative phase. Nonetheless, dI fates can be again restored with a 2-day pulse of RA ± BMP4.

Implications of stem-cell-derived sensory neurons for drug screening and regenerative therapies

Our studies have identified distinct psychoactive and analgesic drug-related signaling pathways in different dl populations. These findings raise the possibility of using stem-cell-derived dls in drug screening studies to identify additional analgesics and to study the mechanistic action of drugs such as cocaine and amphetamine at the cellular level. Targeting a spinal cellular substrate for therapeutics that concomitantly spare cortical activation may result in pain relief that avoids the addictive side effects that have led to opioid abuse.

It is also now critical to assess the regenerative potential of these cells, by transplantation into an injured or diseased spinal

Figure 7. Activating canonical Wnt signaling expands mESC-derived NPC populations

(A) Timeline for the expansion protocol for mESC-derived spinal cord progenitors.

⁽B-I) RA-treated (B-E) and RA + BMP4-treated (F-I) EBs were passaged with DMSO (B and F) or CHIR (C, D, G, and H). Bright-field images (B, C, F, and G) show that passaging with CHIR, but not DMSO, causes >6-fold increase in the number of EBs (E and I; one-way ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis test, n = 2 independent differentiations). All CHIR-treated EBs maintain a Sox2⁺ NPC identity after passages 1 and 2 (D and H), but the expansion of RA-patterned EBs was less robust than that of RA + BMP4-patterned EBs (E and I).

⁽J) Schematic of the timeline and experimental approaches to assay the differentiation potential of CHIR-treated EBs. The left timeline shows conditions to induce neural differentiation in CHIR-expanded EBs following their dissociation. The right timeline determines the extent to which dI patterning can be restored in CHIR-treated EBs, with a 2-day pulse of RA ± BMP4.

⁽K–Q) IHC analysis for Sox2⁺ NPCs and Tuj1⁺ neurites on passage 6 EBs (48 days) revealed that removal of CHIR induces spontaneous neural differentiation in both RA-treated (L) and RA + BMP4-treated (O) EBs. The addition of DAPT had a modest effect on the number of Tuj1⁺ neurites (M and P). qRT-PCR analyses confirm the upregulation of *Tubb3* and *NeuN* in CHIR-depleted conditions. (Q) However, the number of Sox2⁺ cells remains unchanged.

⁽R–X) Assessing the patterning profile of expanded EBs showed they can differentiate into dI5s (R and X; RA control) or dI3s (U; RA + BMP4 control), but not dI1s, dI2s, and dI4s (R, T, and X). A 2-day pulse of RA \pm BMP4 from day 3 to 5 restored dI1/2 differentiation (V and X) with an ~5-fold increase in the number of Pax2⁺- dI4/dI6 neurons (S and X). The differentiation potential of passage (p) 1 and p6 (X) EBs did not show significant change over time, except for dI2s, where differentiation potential modestly improves over time (n = 6–10 EBs, Mann-Whitney test). Significance values: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005. Scale bars: 2 mm (B, C, F, and G); 100 μ m (Q–V); 2,000 μ m (J–O).

cord. At present, it remains unresolved whether the pure neuronal populations (Fortin et al., 2016) will be more functionally beneficial than replacing populations of multipotential progenitors or mixtures of neurons (Kawai et al., 2021). The identification of dl-specific cell surface markers in this study (Table S4) enables the sorting of specific neural populations, which will make it possible to distinguish between these possibilities.

In summary, these studies uncover further mechanistic insights into the process of dl differentiation *in vitro* to derive bona fide spinal sensory neurons in the large numbers needed for clinical and drug discovery applications. They represent a critical step toward using ESC-derived dls for studying the effects of various addictive compounds and *in vitro* disease modeling for pain disorders.

Limitations of the study

Although this study shows that directed differentiation protocols can generate bona fide sensory modality-specific dorsal spinal interneurons, some hurdles remain. In each protocol, the dls arise as heterogeneous populations, and the yield of dl3 and dl6, the populations that regulate mechanosensation and gait, remain modest. Further transcriptomic analyses are needed to assess the mechanisms by which dP state1 resolves into dl4– dl6 and dP state2 resolves into dl1–dl3. The identification of any dl-specific regulators might permit the generation of large numbers of a specific dl population using the CHIR-mediated expansion protocol. Finally, *in-vitro*-derived dls need to be electrophysiologically assessed to determine their maturity; such an analysis requires an understanding of the electrophysiological signatures of endogenous spinal sensory neurons, which are not yet well defined.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead contact
 - Materials availability
 - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - ESC maintenance and two-dimensional (2D) differentiation
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Bulk-RNA sequencing and data processing
 - WGCNA analysis
 - O Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis
 - Single-cell RNA sequencing and analysis
 - Embryoid body (EB) culture, and expansion
 - Embryoid body (EB) differentiation
 - Immunohistochemistry
 - O Quantitative (q) RT-PCR analysis
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Image quantification
 - Statistics

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2022.111119.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Carmen Birchmeier-Kohler and Thomas Müller for reagents, Drs. Jennifer Kong and Madeline Andrews for early technical help, and past and present members of the Butler and Novitch labs for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the UCLA Broad Stem Cell Research Center (BSCRC) postdoctoral training grant (to S.G.), the California Institute for Regenerative Medicine (CIRM) Bridges to Research program (TB1-01183 to S.C.), grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (R01NS123187 and R01NS085097 to S.J.B.; R21NS115012 and R01NS0 85227 to B.G.N.), and awards from the BSCRC (to B.G.N. and S.J.B.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S. Gupta and S.J.B. conceived the project. S. Gupta, S. Gallardo, and S.C. performed the differentiations. S. Gupta conducted bulk and single-cell RNA-seq. S. Gupta and R.K. analyzed the bulk RNA-seq data. S. Gupta, R.K., and E.H. analyzed the scRNA-seq data. This paper was written by S. Gupta and S.J.B. and edited by S. Gupta, B.G.N., and S.J.B.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY

One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as an underrepresented ethnic minority in science. One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as a member of the LGBTQ+ community. While citing references scientifically relevant for this work, we also actively worked to promote gender balance in our reference list.

Received: November 8, 2021 Revised: April 12, 2022 Accepted: June 28, 2022 Published: July 19, 2022

REFERENCES

Abou Chakra, M., Isserlin, R., Tran, T.N., and Bader, G.D. (2021). Control of tissue development and cell diversity by cell cycle-dependent transcriptional filtering. Elife 10. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64951.

Agalliu, D., Takada, S., Agalliu, I., McMahon, A.P., and Jessell, T.M. (2009). Motor neurons with axial muscle projections specified by Wnt4/5 signaling. Neuron *61*, 708–720. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.026.

Alvarez-Medina, R., Cayuso, J., Okubo, T., Takada, S., and Marti, E. (2008). Wht canonical pathway restricts graded Shh/Gli patterning activity through the regulation of Gli3 expression. Development *135*, 237–247. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.012054.

Andersson, L.S., Larhammar, M., Memic, F., Wootz, H., Schwochow, D., Rubin, C.J., Patra, K., Arnason, T., Wellbring, L., Hjalm, G., et al. (2012). Mutations in DMRT3 affect locomotion in horses and spinal circuit function in mice. Nature 488, 642–646. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11399.

Andrews, M.G., Del Castillo, L.M., Ochoa-Bolton, E., Yamauchi, K., Smogorzewski, J., and Butler, S.J. (2017). BMPs direct sensory interneuron identity in the developing spinal cord using signal-specific not morphogenic activities. Elife 6. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30647.

Andrews, M.G., Kong, J., Novitch, B.G., and Butler, S.J. (2019). New perspectives on the mechanisms establishing the dorsal-ventral axis of the spinal cord. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. *132*, 417–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.12. 010.

Antonic, A., Sena, E.S., Lees, J.S., Wills, T.E., Skeers, P., Batchelor, P.E., Macleod, M.R., and Howells, D.W. (2013). Stem cell transplantation in traumatic spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies. PLoS Biol. *11*, e1001738. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001738.

Attardi, A., Fulton, T., Florescu, M., Shah, G., Muresan, L., Lenz, M.O., Lancaster, C., Huisken, J., van Oudenaarden, A., and Steventon, B. (2018). Neuromesodermal progenitors are a conserved source of spinal cord with divergent growth dynamics. Development *145*. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.166728.

Becht, E., McInnes, L., Healy, J., Dutertre, C.A., Kwok, I.W.H., Ng, L.G., Ginhoux, F., and Newell, E.W. (2018). Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. Nat. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4314.

Boll, S., Almeida de Minas, A.C., Raftogianni, A., Herpertz, S.C., and Grinevich, V. (2018). Oxytocin and pain perception: from animal models to human Research. Neuroscience *387*, 149–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.09.041.

Bui, T.V., Akay, T., Loubani, O., Hnasko, T.S., Jessell, T.M., and Brownstone, R.M. (2013). Circuits for grasping: spinal dl3 interneurons mediate cutaneous control of motor behavior. Neuron 78, 191–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2013.02.007.

Burstyn-Cohen, T., Stanleigh, J., Sela-Donenfeld, D., and Kalcheim, C. (2004). Canonical Wht activity regulates trunk neural crest delamination linking BMP/ noggin signaling with G1/S transition. Development *131*, 5327–5339. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01424.

Chen, B., Dodge, M.E., Tang, W., Lu, J., Ma, Z., Fan, C.W., Wei, S., Hao, W., Kilgore, J., Williams, N.S., et al. (2009). Small molecule-mediated disruption of Wnt-dependent signaling in tissue regeneration and cancer. Nat. Chem. Biol. 5, 100–107. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.137.

Chen, E.Y., Tan, C.M., Kou, Y., Duan, Q., Wang, Z., Meirelles, G.V., Clark, N.R., and Ma'ayan, A. (2013). Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. BMC Bioinf. *14*, 128. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-128.

Cheng, L., Arata, A., Mizuguchi, R., Qian, Y., Karunaratne, A., Gray, P.A., Arata, S., Shirasawa, S., Bouchard, M., Luo, P., et al. (2004). Tlx3 and Tlx1 are postmitotic selector genes determining glutamatergic over GABAergic cell fates. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 510–517. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1221.

Crawford, T.Q., and Roelink, H. (2007). The notch response inhibitor DAPT enhances neuronal differentiation in embryonic stem cell-derived embryoid bodies independently of sonic hedgehog signaling. Dev Dyn *236*, 886–892. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21083.

Daneman, R., Agalliu, D., Zhou, L., Kuhnert, F., Kuo, C.J., and Barres, B.A. (2009). Wht/beta-catenin signaling is required for CNS, but not non-CNS, angiogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 641–646. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0805165106.

Delile, J., Rayon, T., Melchionda, M., Edwards, A., Briscoe, J., and Sagner, A. (2019). Single cell transcriptomics reveals spatial and temporal dynamics of gene expression in the developing mouse spinal cord. Development *146*. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.173807.

Dickinson, M.E., Krumlauf, R., and McMahon, A.P. (1994). Evidence for a mitogenic effect of Wnt-1 in the developing mammalian central nervous system. Development *120*, 1453–1471.

Diez del Corral, R., Olivera-Martinez, I., Goriely, A., Gale, E., Maden, M., and Storey, K. (2003). Opposing FGF and retinoid pathways control ventral neural pattern, neuronal differentiation, and segmentation during body axis extension. Neuron *40*, 65–79.

Downey, L.A., Tysse, B., Ford, T.C., Samuels, A.C., Wilson, R.P., and Parrott, A.C. (2017). Psychomotor tremor and proprioceptive control problems in current and former stimulant drug users: an accelerometer study of heavy users of amphetamine, MDMA, and other recreational stimulants. J. Clin. Pharmacol. *57*, 1330–1337. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.925.

Duelen, R., and Sampaolesi, M. (2017). Stem cell technology in cardiac regeneration: a pluripotent stem cell promise. EBioMedicine *16*, 30–40. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.01.029.

Durinck, S., Spellman, P.T., Birney, E., and Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat. Protoc. *4* (8), 1184–1191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009. 97.

Duval, N., Vaslin, C., Barata, T.C., Frarma, Y., Contremoulins, V., Baudin, X., Nedelec, S., and Ribes, V.C. (2019). BMP4 patterns smad activity and generates stereotyped cell fate organization in spinal organoids. Development *146*. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.175430.

Faravelli, I., Bucchia, M., Rinchetti, P., Nizzardo, M., Simone, C., Frattini, E., and Corti, S. (2014). Motor neuron derivation from human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells: experimental approaches and clinical perspectives. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 5, 87. https://doi.org/10.1186/scrt476.

Farhadian, M., Rafat, S.A., Panahi, B., and Mayack, C. (2021). Weighted gene co-expression network analysis identifies modules and functionally enriched pathways in the lactation process. Sci. Rep. *11*, 2367. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41598-021-81888-z.

Fernandes, E.C., Luz, L.L., Mytakhir, O., Lukoyanov, N.V., Szucs, P., and Safronov, B.V. (2016). Diverse firing properties and Aβ-, Aδ-, and C-afferent inputs of small local circuit neurons in spinal lamina I. Pain *157*, 475–487. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.00000000000394.

Fortin, J.M., Azari, H., Zheng, T., Darioosh, R.P., Schmoll, M.E., Vedam-Mai, V., Deleyrolle, L.P., and Reynolds, B.A. (2016). Transplantation of defined populations of differentiated human neural stem cell progeny. Sci. Rep. 6, 23579. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23579.

Furusawa, K., Tokuhiro, A., Sugiyama, H., Ikeda, A., Tajima, F., Genda, E., Uchida, R., Tominaga, T., Tanaka, H., Magara, A., and Sumida, M. (2011). Incidence of symptomatic autonomic dysreflexia varies according to the bowel and bladder management techniques in patients with spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord *49*, 49–54. https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2010.94.

Gautier, A., El Ouaraki, H., Bazin, N., Salam, S., Vodjdani, G., Bourgoin, S., Pezet, S., Bernard, J.F., and Hamon, M. (2017). Lentiviral vector-driven inhibition of 5-HT synthesis in B3 bulbo-spinal serotonergic projections - consequences on nociception, inflammatory and neuropathic pain in rats. Exp. Neurol. 288, 11–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2016.10.016.

Gouti, M., Delile, J., Stamataki, D., Wymeersch, F.J., Huang, Y., Kleinjung, J., Wilson, V., and Briscoe, J. (2017). A gene regulatory network balances neural and mesoderm specification during vertebrate trunk development. Dev. Cell *41*, 243–261.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.04.002.

Gouti, M., Tsakiridis, A., Wymeersch, F.J., Huang, Y., Kleinjung, J., Wilson, V., and Briscoe, J. (2014). In vitro generation of neuromesodermal progenitors reveals distinct roles for wnt signalling in the specification of spinal cord and paraxial mesoderm identity. PLoS Biol. *12*, e1001937. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001937.

Gupta, S., and Butler, S.J. (2021). Getting in touch with your senses: mechanisms specifying sensory interneurons in the dorsal spinal cord. WIREs Mech. Dis. *13*, e1520. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsbm.1520.

Gupta, S., Sivalingam, D., Hain, S., Makkar, C., Sosa, E., Clark, A., and Butler, S.J. (2018). Deriving dorsal spinal sensory interneurons from human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Rep. *10*, 390–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stemcr.2017.12.012.

Gupta, S., Yamauchi, K., Novitch, B.G., and Butler, S.J. (2021). Derivation of dorsal spinal sensory interneurons from human pluripotent stem cells. STAR Protoc *2*, 100319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100319.

Hafemeister, C., and Satija, R. (2019). Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. Genome Biol. *20* (*1*), 296. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1874-1.

Haimson, B., Hadas, Y., Kania, A., Daley, M., Cinnamon, Y., Lev-Tov, A., and Klar, A. (2020). A Subpopulation of Spinocerebellar Tract Neurons Regulates the Stability of Bipedal Stepping. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10. 1101/2020.01.07.898072.

Han, X., Feng, J., Guo, T., Loh, Y.E., Yuan, Y., Ho, T.V., Cho, C.K., Li, J., Jing, J., Janeckova, E., et al. (2021). Runx2-Twist1 interaction coordinates cranial

neural crest guidance of soft palate myogenesis. Elife 10. https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.62387.

Hao, Y., Hao, S., Andersen-Nissen, E., Mauck, W.M., Zheng, S., Butler, A., Lee, M.J., Wilk, A.J., Darby, C., Zager, M., et al. (2021). Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell *184*, 3573–3587.e9. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2021.04.048.

Hazen, V.M., Andrews, M.G., Umans, L., Crenshaw, E.B., 3rd, Zwijsen, A., and Butler, S.J. (2012). BMP receptor-activated Smads confer diverse functions during the development of the dorsal spinal cord. Dev. Biol. *367*, 216–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.05.014.

Hoekstra, E.J., von Oerthel, L., van der Heide, L.P., Kouwenhoven, W.M., Veenvliet, J.V., Wever, I., Jin, Y.R., Yoon, J.K., van der Linden, A.J., Holstege, F.C., et al. (2013). Lmx1a encodes a rostral set of mesodiencephalic dopaminergic neurons marked by the Wnt/B-catenin signaling activator R-spondin 2. PLoS One 8, e74049. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074049.

Holmes, G.M., and Blanke, E.N. (2019). Gastrointestinal dysfunction after spinal cord injury. Exp. Neurol. *320*, 113009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol. 2019.113009.

Hou, S., DeFinis, J.H., Daugherty, S.L., Tang, C., Weinberger, J., and de Groat, W.C. (2021). Deciphering spinal endogenous dopaminergic mechanisms that modulate micturition reflexes in rats with spinal cord injury. eNeuro 8. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0157-21.2021.

Huang, d.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009a). Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. *37*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn923.

Huang, d.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009b). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. 4, 44–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211.

Ilicic, T., Kim, J.K., Kolodziejczyk, A.A., Bagger, F.O., McCarthy, D.J., Marioni, J.C., and Teichmann, S.A. (2016). Classification of low quality cells from singlecell RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. *17*, 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0888-1.

Ille, F., Atanasoski, S., Falk, S., Ittner, L.M., Märki, D., Büchmann-Møller, S., Wurdak, H., Suter, U., Taketo, M.M., and Sommer, L. (2007). Wnt/BMP signal integration regulates the balance between proliferation and differentiation of neuroepithelial cells in the dorsal spinal cord. Dev. Biol. *304*, 394–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.12.045.

Ionta, S., Villiger, M., Jutzeler, C.R., Freund, P., Curt, A., and Gassert, R. (2016). Spinal cord injury affects the interplay between visual and sensorimotor representations of the body. Sci. Rep. 6, 20144. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20144.

Kattman, S.J., Witty, A.D., Gagliardi, M., Dubois, N.C., Niapour, M., Hotta, A., Ellis, J., and Keller, G. (2011). Stage-specific optimization of activin/nodal and BMP signaling promotes cardiac differentiation of mouse and human pluripotent stem cell lines. Cell Stem Cell *8*, 228–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem. 2010.12.008.

Kawaguchi, J., Mee, P.J., and Smith, A.G. (2005). Osteogenic and chondrogenic differentiation of embryonic stem cells in response to specific growth factors. Bone *36*, 758–769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2004.07.019.

Kawai, M., Imaizumi, K., Ishikawa, M., Shibata, S., Shinozaki, M., Shibata, T., Hashimoto, S., Kitagawa, T., Ago, K., Kajikawa, K., et al. (2021). Long-term selective stimulation of transplanted neural stem/progenitor cells for spinal cord injury improves locomotor function. Cell Rep. *37*, 110019. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.celrep.2021.110019.

Koch, S.C., Acton, D., and Goulding, M. (2018). Spinal circuits for touch, pain, and itch. Annu. Rev. Physiol. *80*, 189–217. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-022516-034303.

Kong, D., Feng, B., Amponsah, A.E., He, J., Guo, R., Liu, B., Du, X., Liu, X., Zhang, S., Lv, F., et al. (2021). hiPSC-derived NSCs effectively promote the functional recovery of acute spinal cord injury in mice. Stem Cell Res. Ther. *12*, 172. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-021-02217-9.

Ladd, A.N., Yatskievych, T.A., and Antin, P.B. (1998). Regulation of avian cardiac myogenesis by activin/TGFbeta and bone morphogenetic proteins. Dev. Biol. *204*, 407–419. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1998.9094. Lai, H.C., Klisch, T.J., Roberts, R., Zoghbi, H.Y., and Johnson, J.E. (2011). In vivo neuronal subtype-specific targets of Atoh1 (Math1) in dorsal spinal cord. J. Neurosci. *31*, 10859–10871. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 0445-11.2011.

Lai, H.C., Seal, R.P., and Johnson, J.E. (2016). Making sense out of spinal cord somatosensory development. Development *143*, 3434–3448. https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.139592.

Langfelder, P., and Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinf. *9*, 559. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.

Le Dreau, G., Garcia-Campmany, L., Rabadan, M.A., Ferronha, T., Tozer, S., Briscoe, J., and Marti, E. (2012). Canonical BMP7 activity is required for the generation of discrete neuronal populations in the dorsal spinal cord. Development *139*, 259–268.

Lengerke, C., Schmitt, S., Bowman, T.V., Jang, I.H., Maouche-Chretien, L., McKinney-Freeman, S., Davidson, A.J., Hammerschmidt, M., Rentzsch, F., Green, J.B., et al. (2008). BMP and Wnt specify hematopoietic fate by activation of the Cdx-Hox pathway. Cell Stem Cell 2, 72–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2007.10.022.

Lenggenhager, B., Pazzaglia, M., Scivoletto, G., Molinari, M., and Aglioti, S.M. (2012). The sense of the body in individuals with spinal cord injury. PLoS One 7, e50757. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050757.

Lipman, Z.M., and Yosipovitch, G. (2021). Substance use disorders and chronic itch. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. *84*, 148–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaad.2020.08.117.

Liu, Q., Sikand, P., Ma, C., Tang, Z., Han, L., Li, Z., Sun, S., LaMotte, R.H., and Dong, X. (2012). Mechanisms of itch evoked by β -alanine. J. Neurosci. *32*, 14532–14537. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3509-12.2012.

MacDonald, B.T., Tamai, K., and He, X. (2009). Wht/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev. Cell *17*, 9–26. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.016.

Megason, S.G., and McMahon, A.P. (2002). A mitogen gradient of dorsal midline Wnts organizes growth in the CNS. Development *129*, 2087–2098.

Müller, T., Anlag, K., Wildner, H., Britsch, S., Treier, M., and Birchmeier, C. (2005). The bHLH factor Olig3 coordinates the specification of dorsal neurons in the spinal cord. Genes Dev. *19*, 733–743. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad. 326105.

Muroyama, Y., Fujihara, M., Ikeya, M., Kondoh, H., and Takada, S. (2002). Wnt signaling plays an essential role in neuronal specification of the dorsal spinal cord. Genes Dev. *16*, 548–553.

Novitch, B.G., Wichterle, H., Jessell, T.M., and Sockanathan, S. (2003). A requirement for retinoic acid-mediated transcriptional activation in ventral neural patterning and motor neuron specification. Neuron *40*, 81–95.

Olivos-Cisneros, L., Ramírez-Santos, J., and Gutiérrez-Ospina, G. (2021). Proliferation rate and differentiation potential are independent during the transition from neurogenesis to gliogenesis in the mouse embryonic spinal cord. IBRO Neurosci. Rep. *10*, 75–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibneur.2020.11.004.

Oulad-Abdelghani, M., Chazaud, C., Bouillet, P., Sapin, V., Chambon, P., and Dollé, P. (1997). Meis2, a novel mouse Pbx-related homeobox gene induced by retinoic acid during differentiation of P19 embryonal carcinoma cells. Dev Dyn *210*, 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0177.

Panahi, B., Farhadian, M., and Hejazi, M.A. (2020). Systems biology approach identifies functional modules and regulatory hubs related to secondary metabolites accumulation after transition from autotrophic to heterotrophic growth condition in microalgae. PLoS One *15*, e0225677. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225677.

Perry, S., Larhammar, M., Vieillard, J., Nagaraja, C., Hilscher, M.M., Tafreshiha, A., Rofo, F., Caixeta, F.V., and Kullander, K. (2019). Characterization of dmrt3-derived neurons suggest a role within locomotor circuits. J. Neurosci. : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *39*, 1771–1782. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0326-18.2018.

Pillai, A., Mansouri, A., Behringer, R., Westphal, H., and Goulding, M. (2007). Lhx1 and Lhx5 maintain the inhibitory-neurotransmitter status of interneurons

in the dorsal spinal cord. Development 134, 357–366. https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.02717.

Rajagopal, J., and Stanger, B.Z. (2016). Plasticity in the adult: how should the Waddington diagram Be applied to regenerating tissues? Dev. Cell *36*, 133–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.12.021.

Rodrigo Albors, A., Halley, P.A., and Storey, K.G. (2018). Lineage tracing of axial progenitors using Nkx1-2CreER(T2) mice defines their trunk and tail contributions. Development *145*. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.164319.

Row, R.H., Pegg, A., Kinney, B.A., Farr, G.H., Maves, L., Lowell, S., Wilson, V., and Martin, B.L. (2018). BMP and FGF signaling interact to pattern mesoderm by controlling basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor activity. Elife 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.31018.

Russ, D.E., Cross, R.B.P., Li, L., Koch, S.C., Matson, K.J.E., Yadav, A., Alkaslasi, M.R., Lee, D.I., Le Pichon, C.E., Menon, V., and Levine, A.J. (2021). A harmonized atlas of mouse spinal cord cell types and their spatial organization. Nat. Commun. *12*, 5722. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25125-1.

Sagner, A., Gaber, Z.B., Delile, J., Kong, J.H., Rousso, D.L., Pearson, C.A., Weicksel, S.E., Melchionda, M., Mousavy Gharavy, S.N., Briscoe, J., and Novitch, B.G. (2018). Olig2 and Hes regulatory dynamics during motor neuron differentiation revealed by single cell transcriptomics. PLoS Biol. *16*, e2003127. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2003127.

Sakai, N., Insolera, R., Sillitoe, R.V., Shi, S.H., and Kaprielian, Z. (2012). Axon sorting within the spinal cord marginal zone via Robo-mediated inhibition of N-cadherin controls spinocerebellar tract formation. J. Neurosci. *32*, 15377–15387. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2225-12.2012.

Sánchez-Guardado, L., Irimia, M., Sánchez-Arrones, L., Burguera, D., Rodríguez-Gallardo, L., Garcia-Fernández, J., Puelles, L., Ferran, J.L., and Hidalgo-Sánchez, M. (2011). Distinct and redundant expression and transcriptional diversity of MEIS gene paralogs during chicken development. Dev. Dyn. 240, 1475–1492. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.22621.

Schwaller, F., Kanellopoulos, A.H., and Fitzgerald, M. (2017). The developmental emergence of differential brainstem serotonergic control of the sensory spinal cord. Sci. Rep. 7, 2215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02509-2.

Shen, Q., Wang, Y., Dimos, J.T., Fasano, C.A., Phoenix, T.N., Lemischka, I.R., Ivanova, N.B., Stifani, S., Morrisey, E.E., and Temple, S. (2006). The timing of cortical neurogenesis is encoded within lineages of individual progenitor cells. Nat. Neurosci. *9*, 743–751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1694.

Singh, A.M., Chappell, J., Trost, R., Lin, L., Wang, T., Tang, J., Matlock, B.K., Weller, K.P., Wu, H., Zhao, S., et al. (2013). Cell-cycle control of developmentally regulated transcription factors accounts for heterogeneity in human pluripotent cells. Stem Cell Rep. *1*, 532–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stemcr.2013.10.009.

Skagerberg, G., and Lindvall, O. (1985). Organization of diencephalic dopamine neurones projecting to the spinal cord in the rat. Brain Res. *342*, 340–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(85)91134-5.

Soldatov, R., Kaucka, M., Kastriti, M.E., Petersen, J., Chontorotzea, T., Eng-Imaier, L., Akkuratova, N., Yang, Y., Häring, M., Dyachuk, V., et al. (2019). Spatiotemporal structure of cell fate decisions in murine neural crest. Science *364.* https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aas9536.

Son, K., Yu, S., Shin, W., Han, K., and Kang, K. (2018). A simple guideline to assess the characteristics of RNA-seq data. BioMed Res. Int. 2018, 2906292. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2906292.

Tabula Muris Consortium; Overall coordination; Logistical coordination; Organ collection and processing; Library preparation and sequencing; Computational data analysis; Cell type annotation; Writing group; Supplemental text writing group; Principal investigators (2018). Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates a Tabula Muris. Nature *562*, 367–372. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-018-0590-4.

Taweel, W.A., and Seyam, R. (2015). Neurogenic bladder in spinal cord injury patients. Res. Rep. Urol. 7, 85–99. https://doi.org/10.2147/RRU.S29644.

Todd, A.J. (2010). Neuronal circuitry for pain processing in the dorsal horn. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *11*, 823–836. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2947.

Todd, A.J. (2017). Identifying functional populations among the interneurons in laminae I-III of the spinal dorsal horn. Mol. Pain *13*. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1744806917693003.

Travagli, R.A., Hermann, G.E., Browning, K.N., and Rogers, R.C. (2006). Brainstem circuits regulating gastric function. Annu. Rev. Physiol. *68*, 279–305. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.68.040504.094635.

Trawczynski, M., Liu, G., David, B.T., and Fessler, R.G. (2019). Restoring motor neurons in spinal cord injury with induced pluripotent stem cells. Front. Cell. Neurosci. *13*, 369. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2019.00369.

Tzouanacou, E., Wegener, A., Wymeersch, F.J., Wilson, V., and Nicolas, J.F. (2009). Redefining the progression of lineage segregations during mammalian embryogenesis by clonal analysis. Dev. Cell *17*, 365–376. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.devcel.2009.08.002.

Vincentz, J.W., Firulli, B.A., Lin, A., Spicer, D.B., Howard, M.J., and Firulli, A.B. (2013). Twist1 controls a cell-specification switch governing cell fate decisions within the cardiac neural crest. PLoS Genet. *9*, e1003405. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pgen.1003405.

Waddington, C.H. (1957). The Strategy of the Genes.

Watanabe-Susaki, K., Takada, H., Enomoto, K., Miwata, K., Ishimine, H., Intoh, A., Ohtaka, M., Nakanishi, M., Sugino, H., Asashima, M., and Kurisaki, A. (2014). Biosynthesis of ribosomal RNA in nucleoli regulates pluripotency and differentiation ability of pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell. *32*, 3099–3111. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1825.

Webb, S., Qayyum, S.R., Anderson, R.H., Lamers, W.H., and Richardson, M.K. (2003). Septation and separation within the outflow tract of the developing heart. J. Anat. *202*, 327–342. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-7580.2003. 00168.x.

Wercberger, R., and Basbaum, A.I. (2019). Spinal cord projection neurons: a superficial, and also deep, analysis. Curr. Opin. Physiol. *11*, 109–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2019.10.002.

Wichterle, H., Lieberam, I., Porter, J.A., and Jessell, T.M. (2002). Directed differentiation of embryonic stem cells into motor neurons. Cell *110*, 385–397.

Wilson, L., Gale, E., Chambers, D., and Maden, M. (2004). Retinoic acid and the control of dorsoventral patterning in the avian spinal cord. Dev. Biol. 269, 433–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.01.034.

Woolnough, J.L., Atwood, B.L., Liu, Z., Zhao, R., and Giles, K.E. (2016). The regulation of rRNA gene transcription during directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. PLoS One *11*, e0157276. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157276.

Yuengert, R., Hori, K., Kibodeaux, E.E., McClellan, J.X., Morales, J.E., Huang, T.P., Neul, J.L., and Lai, H.C. (2015). Origin of a non-clarke's column division of the dorsal spinocerebellar tract and the role of caudal proprioceptive neurons in motor function. Cell Rep. *13*, 1258–1271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep. 2015.09.064.

Zechner, D., Muller, T., Wende, H., Walther, I., Taketo, M.M., Crenshaw, E.B., 3rd, Treier, M., Birchmeier, W., and Birchmeier, C. (2007). Bmp and Wnt/betacatenin signals control expression of the transcription factor Olig3 and the specification of spinal cord neurons. Dev. Biol. *303*, 181–190. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.10.045.

Zhao, H.J., Chang, H.M., Klausen, C., Zhu, H., Li, Y., and Leung, P.C.K. (2020). Bone morphogenetic protein 2 induces the activation of WNT/ β -catenin signaling and human trophoblast invasion through up-regulating BAMBI. Cell. Signal. 67, 109489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2019.109489.

Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A.H., Tanaseichuk, O., Benner, C., and Chanda, S.K. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat. Commun. *10*, 1523. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6.

STAR*METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Goat polyclonal anti-Lhx2	Santa Cruz	Sc-19344; RRID:AB_2135660
Goat polyclonal anti-Isl1	R&D Systems	AF1837, RRID:AB_2126324
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Pax2	Invitrogen	71-6000, RRID:AB_2336046
Mouse monoclonal anti-Lhx1/5	Developmental Studies Hybridoma bank	4F2, RRID:AB_531784
Goat polyclonal anti-Pax3	R&D Systems	AF2457, RRID:AB_416599
Mouse monoclonal anti-Pax7	Developmental Studies Hybridoma bank	AB_528428, RRID:AB_528428
Rabbit monoclonal anti-phosphoSMAD1/5	Cell Signaling Technology	11971, RRID:AB_2797785
Rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho Histone H3	Cell signaling Technology	9701, RRID:AB_331535
Mouse monoclonal Tubulin III beta (clone-)	Covance	MMS-435P, RRID:AB_2315514
Goat polyclonal anti-Sox2	Santa Cruz	sc-17320, RRID:AB_2286684
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Pax6	MBL life science	PD-022, RRID:AB_1520876
Goat polyclonal anti-T (Brachyury)	R&D Systems	AF-2085, RRID:AB_2200235
Guinea pig polyclonal anti- FoxD3 sera	gift from Thomas Müller; (Müller et al., 2005)	N/A
Guinea pig polyclonal anti- Lmx1b sera	gift from Thomas Müller; (Müller et al., 2005)	N/A
Guinea pig polyclonal anti- Olig3 sera	gift from Thomas Müller; (Müller et al., 2005)	N/A
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
CHIR99021	Tocris Bioscience	4423
IWR1e	Millipore	681669
XAV939	Stem Cell Technologies	72674
Matrigel membrane matrix	Fisher Scientific, Corning	CB-40234
DAPT	Sigma Aldrich	565784
Human recombinant BMP4	Thermo Fisher Scientific	PHC9534
Retinoic acid	Sigma Aldrich	R2625
Human recombinant Wnt1	Thermo Fisher Scientific	PHC1804
Human recombinant Wnt2	Millipore	SRP-6560
Human recombinant Wnt3a	R&D Systems	5036-WN-010
Mouse recombinant Wnt4	R&D Systems	475WN005
Human recombinant Wnt5b	R&D Systems	7347-WN
Mouse recombinant Wnt9b	R&D Systems	3669-WN-025
Human basic FGF (bFGF)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	PHG0023
DMEM:F12	Hyclone	SH30023
Neurobasal medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	21103049
B27 supplement	Fisher Scientific	17-504-044
N2 supplement	Thermo Fisher Scientific	17502048
Mouse LIF	Millipore	ESG1107
Trypsin Inhibitor 1x solution	Sigma-Aldrich	T7659
Paraformaldehyde 16%	Sigma-Aldrich	28906
Phosphate buffer saline (PBS) 1X	Hyclone	SH30028.02
Prolong Gold	Thermo Fisher Scientific	P36930

(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Critical commercial assays		
RNeasy plus mini kit	Qiagen	74106
Superscript IV First-Strand synthesis kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	18091050
Dead cell removal kit	Miltenyi Biotec Inc.	130-090-101
EdU labelling assay	Invitrogen	C10338
Qia shredder	Qiagen	79654
Software and algorithms		
Corel DRAW version 20	Corel DRAW	www.coreldraw.com
Zeiss Blue lite	Zeiss	https://www.zeiss.com/microscopy/us/ products/microscope-software/zen- lite.html
Prism 9	Graphpad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific- software/prism/
Image J	National Institute of Health	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
BioRender		https://biorender.com
R-Package V.3.2.5	The R project for Statistical computing	https://www.r-project.org/
Cell Ranger v 3.0.2	10x Genomics	https://support.10xgenomics.com/single- cell-gene-expression/software/
Seurat v. 3.1.1	Satija Lab	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
EnrichR	Ma'ayan Lab	https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/
Experimental model: Cell lines		
MM13 mouse embryonic stem cell line	Tom Jessell Lab, Columbia University (Wichterle et al., 2002)	N/A
Deposited data		
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)	GEO: GSE185891
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis	GEO: GSE185891
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAAGTCTTCCACCT	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTTC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 IsI1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAGGAGCAC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 IsI1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAGGAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis1-spliced variant B	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAAGAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAGGAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCCAGTG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC TGCGCTGTCCCATACTCAC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2 Meis3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGGAAGG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC TGTCTAACCCATCGCCTCCA GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2 Meis3 Irx1	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAGGAGGAAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC TGCGTGAAGTCCCATACTCAC GCATACTTGCAGCCCTCG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC CAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGTTCATACTGAGAGCCCATCT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2 Meis3 Irx1 Irx3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGACGCGCGATG GCCTACAAGATGGAGGAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGGAAGG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAAATATCGC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTCGCCGTTCC GCATACTTGCAGCCTGG CTCCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCCATCT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2 Meis3 Irx1 Irx3 NeuN	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGGA CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAAAATATCGC ATCGTAGAGGGAACGGAACAGAAATTGA	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGGCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC TGCGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCCTGG CTCGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGCTCATACTGAGAGCCCATCT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GTTCCCAGGCTTCTATTGGTC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis1-spliced variant B Meis2 Iki3 Irx1 Irx3 NeuN Tubb3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGGA CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATCGTAGAGGGACGGAAAATTGA ATCGTAGAGGGACGGAAAATTGA	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC GGAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GTTCCCAGGCTTCTTATTGGTC AGCACCACTGACCAAAGAT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis2 Meis3 Irx1 Irx3 NeuN Tubb3 Sox2	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCACAG ACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATCGTAGAGGGACGGAAAATTGA ATGGACAGTGTTCGGTCTGG CAAAAACCGTGATGCCGACT	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC GGAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GACGACCACTCTGACCAAAGAT GGCACCACTCTGACCAAAGAT
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis3 Irx1 Irx3 NeuN Tubb3 Sox2 Pax3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCACACAG ACCCTGATGGACAGCACATG CAATCGAAAGTCGTCAGTG GCATCAAGATGGACAGCACATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATGGACAGTGTTCGGTCTGG CAAAAACCGTGATGCCGACT AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTCGCCGTTC CTCGGTGACTCGCCATCAGG GCATACTTGCAGCCTGG CTCGGCTGTCCCATACTCAC GGAGGAAGTCCGAAGCCCATACTCAC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GTCCCAGGCTTCTATTGGTC AGCACCACTCTGACCAAAGAT CGCCCTCAGGTTTTCCTGT GGCGTCCTGAGCATTTCTCTGT GGCGTCTTGAGCAATTTGTC
Deposited data Bulk and single-cell RNA-Seq Oligonucleotides GAPDH Lhx2 Foxd3 Isl1 Lhx5 Lbx1 Lmx1b Meis1-spliced variant A Meis1-spliced variant B Meis2 Meis3 Irx1 Irx3 NeuN Tubb3 Sox2 Pax3 Pax7	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGGAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGGCAGCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG TGGGTTCAAAGGGAAGGAAGGA CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATCGTAGAGGGACGGAAAATTGA ATGGACAGTGTTCCGTCTGG CAAAACCGTGATGCCGACT AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC TGGCCAAACTGCTGTGATT	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTCGCCGTTC GCATACTTTGCAGCCTGG CTCGGTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGCGGCAGCTCAAGCC GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTCCA GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GTCCCAGGCTTCTATTGGTC AGCACCACTCTGACCAAAGAT CGCCCTCAGGTTTTCTCTGT GCGTCCTTGAGCAATTTGTC TAGGCTTGTCCCGTTTCCAC
Deposited dataBulk and single-cell RNA-SeqOligonucleotidesGAPDHLhx2Foxd3Isl1Lhx5Lbx1Lmx1bMeis1-spliced variant AMeis2Meis3Irx1Irx3NeuNTubb3Sox2Pax7Olig3	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCTGATGGACAGCAC ACCCTGATGGACAGCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATGGACAGTGTTCCGGTCTGG CAAAACCGTGATGCCGACT AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC TGGCCAAACTGCTGTGATT AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC TGGCCAAACTGCTGTTGATT AACCCAAGCAGGTGACCAAC	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCC CAGGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GTTCCCAGGCTTCTATTGGTC AGCACCACTCTGACCAAGAT CGCCTCAGGTTTTCTCTGT GCGTCCTTGAGCAATTGTC TAGGCTTGTCCCGTTTCCAC GGCAAGGGGGTAGGATGAAC
Deposited dataBulk and single-cell RNA-SeqOligonucleotidesGAPDHLhx2Foxd3Isl1Lhx5Lbx1Lmx1bMeis1-spliced variant AMeis2Meis3Irx1Irx3NeuNTubb3Sox2Pax3Pax7Olig3Wnt1	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Mouse primers for qPCR analysis Forward primer GGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTAC CAGCTTGCGCAAAAGACC CCCCAACACTGACCAACAG ATCGAGTGTTTCCGCTGTGT CGGAGCAAAGTCTTCCACCT GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCCGAAGGCCGCGATG GCATCAAGATGGAGGAGCAC AACCCTGATGGACAGCCAATG CAATCGAAAGCCAGGGGAA GCACAGGATGCGTTTCAGTG GGGCTCACAGGGAAAGGAAGG CGGCCGCGGTCACCT ACAGGTGACAAGAAAAATATCGC ATCGTAGAGGGACGGAAAATTGA ATGGACAGTGTTCGGTCTGG CAAAACCGTGATGCCGACT AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC TGGCCAAACTGCTGTTCAAGG AAACCCAAGCAGGTGACAAC ACGTTAGGGCTGTTCCAAGG ACGTTAGGGCTGTTCCAAGG ACGTTAGGGCTGTTCCAAGG	GEO: GSE185891 Reverse primer TGTCATCATACTTGGCAGGTT TAAAAGGTTGCGCCTGAACT GTTGCTCCGCCAGCTTA ATGGGTTCGGCTGCCATTT GGACGACACCGAGTTGAGAC TGCGTGATTTCGCCGTTC CTCGTTGACTCGCATCAGG GCATACTTTGCAGCCCTGG CTCGGTGAAGTCCCATACTCAC GGAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCC CAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC GAGGTGAAGTCCTGAAGCCC CAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GAGCGTCCAGATGGTTCTGT GGCACACCACTCTGACCAAAGAT CGCCCTCAGGTTTTCCTGT GCGTCCTTGAGCAATTTGTC TAGGCTTGTCCCGTTTCCAC GGCAAGGGGGTAGGATGAAC GGCAAGGGGGTAGGATGAAC GTCACGATGCCCCACCATC

(Continued on next page)

CellPress OPEN ACCESS

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Wnt3a	CTACCCGATCTGGTGGTCCT	ACAGAGAATGGGCTGAGTGC
Wnt4	CAGGAAGGCCATCTTGACACAC	GTCTTTACCTCGCAGGAGCC
Wnt5b	CCGGCCACCTTTCTCTCTTA	CGGAGCTCCCACGCTGAT
Wnt10b	ACGGTTTAAGCCCCAAGAGC	GAGTCAGTCAGCGCCTCC
Axin2	GCTGCGCTTTGATAAGGTCC	CGCGAACGGCTGCTTATTTT
Tcf7	GGACGGGAGCACACTTCG	GCCTTCAGGCCGTCCTCT

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Samantha J. Butler, PhD. Email: butlersj@ucla.edu.

Materials availability

Further information regarding the resources and reagents should be directed to the lead contact- Samantha Butler, PhD (butlersj@ ucla.edu).

Data and code availability

- Bulk and Single-cell RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO and are publicly available. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Microscopy data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- All original code is available from the lead contact upon request.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

ESC maintenance and two-dimensional (2D) differentiation

The mouse ESC line, MM13, was routinely maintained in ES cell media (DMEM+20% FBS) with 100u/ml of mouse leukocyte inducing factor (LIF). Cells were maintained on mitotically inactive irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and were passaged at least twice before starting the differentiation. To prepare cells for the differentiation, ESC colonies were dissociated with 0.25% trypsin and plated on gelatin coated plates at 1:10 dilution to reduce MEF transfer. Cells cultured on gelatin coated plates and allowed to proliferate for 1-2 days. To initiate differentiation, cells were plated on 0.1% gelatin coated 24-well CellBIND dishes (Corning) at 20,000 cells/ml density in 0.5mL/well N2/B27 medium that contains 10ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF). The N2/B27 medium contains 1:1 portions of Advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle Media (DMEM) F12 (Hyclone) and Neurobasal media (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 0.5x N2, 1x B27 supplement (with Vitamin A), 2mM L-glutamine, 0.1mM 2-mercaptoethanol (ME), and 1% BSA. On day 1, small colonies of cells can be observed attached to the bottom of the wells. On day 2, cells were supplemented with 10ng/ml bFGF and 5µM CHIR99021 for 24 h to induce a neuromesodermal identity (Gouti et al., 2014). On day 3, media was changed as follows: for protocol 1, cells were exposed to 100nM RA for 48hrs. For protocol 2, 10ng/ml human recombinant BMP4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added concomitantly with 100nM RA (RA + BMP4) for 48hrs. For protocol 3, cells were exposed to 100nM RA for 24hrs, followed by a pulse of RA + BMP4 for another 24 h. To induce terminal differentiation in all three conditions, the medium containing growth factors was replaced with basic N2/B27 medium at day 5, and cell allowed to differentiate to day 9. At the end of the differentiation, the cultures were fixed for immunohistochemical analysis or lysed to obtain RNA for RNA-Seq or quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR analysis.

METHOD DETAILS

Bulk-RNA sequencing and data processing

Total cell lysate was obtained in buffer RLT (Qiagen) at different time points from three independent differentiations (biological replicates) conducted parallelly. RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and the quality was determined using Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer and only samples with a RIN score >8.0 were sequenced. Stranded libraries were constructed using Universal plus mRNA sequencing kit (NuGEN) and sequenced onto 2 lanes of NovaseqS1 to generate 30 million reads/sample. Obtained reads were aligned to the latest mouse reference genome (mm10) using the STAR spliced read aligner. Pearson correlation and principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to determine the similarities among the samples and replicates. Differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq and EdgeR package on R platform. The candidate genes were selected using cutoff false discovery rate (FDR <0.05) and log fold change (>2). Differentially expressed gene lists were

further subjected to Enrichr, Metascape (Zhou et al., 2019) and DAVID functional annotation tools to identify enriched gene ontology (GO) categories.

WGCNA analysis

We used WGCNA package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008) to identify the expression dynamics of gene modules associated with differentiations for protocol 1, 2, and 3. WGCNA was performed separately on RNA-Seq samples from protocol 1 (R-branch), 2 (C-branch), and 3 (B-branch) with day 0 and day 3 as common samples. First, differentially expressed genes were extracted for each group to determine the most variable genes. The Pearson correlation matrices were calculated for all RNA pairs in each group and were transformed into adjacency matrices using the power function. A dynamic tree-cut algorithm was used to identify gene co-expression modules where modules were defines as branches cut off from the tree and labeled in unique colors. The module eigengene (ME) represents the first principal component for each module. Gene ontologies associated with the modules were determined by supplying top 100 genes to the Enrichr platform.

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis

We used the metascape algorithm (www.metascape.org) to identify PPI networks induced by 6hrs and 24hrs of RA exposure. First, significant differentially expressed (DE) genes were extracted by pairwise comparison with the day3 dataset (day3 v/s 6hrs RA and day 3 v/s 24hr RA) using log fold change> 2 and false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01 as cut off for the upregulated genes. The entire gene list obtained from the DE analysis was then submitted to Metascape platform with Mus musculus as both input and analysis species. Both gene ontologies (GO) and PPI network were then retrieved for each gene list using the default Metascape parameters applied under the express analysis tool (minimum network size = 3, maximum network size 500).

Single-cell RNA sequencing and analysis

Preparation of single cell suspension

Day 9 cultures from both protocol1 (RA) and protocol3 (RA + BMP4) were dissociated with 0.25% cold trypsin for 5 min. Trypsin activity was stopped with the addition of trypsin inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1:1 ratio. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 1000RPM and resuspended in 1x PBS. The ratio of viable cells was determined using Trypan blue staining. Dead cells were removed using MACS dead cell removal kit (Miltenyi Biotec Inc.) by following the manufacturer's protocol. Eluted live cells were then suspended in 1X PBS containing 0.04% BSA solution (400μ g/ml) for the library preparation.

Library preparation, and sequencing

~10,000 live cells/conditions were used to construct single-cell specific cDNA libraries using protocol described in 10x Genomics chromium single cell 3' reagent kit (v3.1 Chemistry). Briefly, cells were partitioned into nanoliter-scale Gel-Beads-in-emulsion (GEM) using the 10x Chromium controller. Each GEM contains a unique barcode which is shared among the cDNA generated from a single cell. Cells were then lysed, and cDNA synthesis and feature barcoding were performed in the GEMs. The sequencing libraries were recovered using Magnetic separation and the quantity and quality of cDNA were assessed by Agilent 2100 expert High Sensitivity DNA Assay. cDNA samples were sequenced on 1 lane of NovaSeq 6000 S2 flow cell and reads were mapped to mouse mm10 genome using Cell Ranger v.3.0.2 to generate fasq files.

Filtering genes and cells

Seurat package v3.1.1 was used for the analysis. For each condition, genes expressing in less than 3 cells were removed and cells with >250 features were retained. PCA was used for dimension reduction (npcs = 50) with top 3000 most variable genes. Raw count data were normalized using regularized negative binomial regression with SCT transformation. Cell clustering is done using Shared Nearest Neighbor (SNN) Graph method and cluster specific markers were identified by Wilcox Rank-Sum test. Each cell cluster was annotated by a combination of the following methods 1) the expression of known canonical cell-type markers 2) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of cluster specific markers determined by FindMarkers function in Seurat.

Reclustering of neuronal clusters

From the entire single-cell dataset, neuronal cells were first identified by the expression of pan-neuronal markers-Tubb3 and ElavI1/2. Roughly 30% cells express these markers in both protocol 1 and protocol 3 and were thus annotated as the mature neurons. The neuronal populations were then extracted using the Seurat package in R, by clustering the cells expressing Tubb3 >2 (median normalized log expression levels) using the Shared Nearest Neighbor (SNN) Graph method. This manipulation resulted in 12 clusters in protocol 1 (RA) and 13 clusters in protocol3 (RA + BMP4). Clusters were visualized with Uniform Manifold Approximation Projection (UMAP) method and the unique cluster-specific markers were identified using FindMarker function in Seurat.

GO analysis for neuronal clusters

We used DAVID functional annotation tool (Huang et al., 2009b) (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/tools.jsp) for identifying enriched biological processes and pathways associated with different neuronal clusters. For this, cluster-specific gene lists were sorted according to log fold change (FC) values and all genes with log FC = >0.2 were selected and submitted to the DAVID platform with default settings and Mus musculus as the input species. Ontological terms were then surveyed to determine if terms reflect the known functions of the dls that the given cluster belongs to. Selected GO terms were arranged in the decreasing order of -log10 (p value) and represented as bar plots.

Integration of single-cell datasets from different origins

The *in vivo* spinal cord single-cell dataset (Delile et al., 2019) was downloaded from https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/ experiments/E-MTAB-7320/and loaded into R. Gene names were standardized to match our dataset using the biomaRt function (Durinck et al., 2009). This data was then loaded into Seurat (version 4.0) and processed using the SCTransform pipeline (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019). *In vivo* cell type labels were simplified and transferred to the *in vitro* datasets. The *in vivo* dataset was then integrated with the *in vitro* protocol datasets using Seurat's reciprocal PCA integration pipeline, and projected into a three-dimensional UMAP space. Tabula Muris reference datasets of kidney (2781 cells), lung (5449 cells) and trachea (11,269 cells) were downloaded from https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Robject_files_for_tissues_processed_by_Seurat/5821263, loaded into R, and then processed through Seurat, as above. The three Tabula Muris datasets were then integrated with the *in vitro* and *in vivo* spinal cord datasets using Seurat's reciprocal PCA integration pipeline and embedded into a three-dimensional UMAP space. Code for this analysis is available upon request.

dl cell type extraction based on the marker gene expression

Cutoffs for gene expression levels when extracting cells were initially determined through the cluster-specific violin plots from the integrated *in vivo* and *in vitro* spinal cord datasets for the SCT assay. Cutoffs were then refined by plotting the extracted cells on a UMAP plot adjusting the values to exclude non-specific cells with background levels of the genes. The cutoffs values for each gene are as follows: dl1 = Barhl1 > 1 (*in vivo*-417 cells, *in vitro*-1120 cells), dl2 = Foxd3 > 1 (*in vivo*-552 cells, *in vitro*-395 cells), dl3 = *ls*/1 > 1 *Tlx*3 > 0.5 (*in vivo*-1669 cells, *in vitro*-89 cells), dl4 = *Pax*2 > 1 and *Lhx*1 > 1 (*in vivo*-1943 cells, *in vitro*-810 cells), dl5 = *Lmx*1b > 1 and *Pou4f*1 > 1 (*in vivo*-433 cells, *in vitro*-216 cells), dl6 = *Dmrt*3 > 1 (*in vivo*-73 cells, *in vitro*-60 cells). To extract *Tubb*3+ cells in the *in vivo* and *in vitro* combined dataset, expression by cluster was plotted, and the clusters expressing high levels of *Tubb*3 were extracted as a whole. Code is available upon request.

Embryoid body (EB) culture, and expansion

To initiate EB formation, dissociated embryonic stem cells were seeded in low attachment plates (Corning) at 10⁵ cells/ml density in N2/B27 medium supplemented with 10ng/ml bFGF. To induce posterior spinal cord and dorsal spinal patterning, RA and RA + BMP4 were added using the same timeline as described for the 2D differentiation, and the media was changed at every other day after day 5. *For expansion cultures*

EBs were dissociated in single cell suspension at day 6 by treating with 0.25% Trypsin, followed by trituration to break up the larger cell clumps. Cells were pelleted and passaged in N2/B27 medium containing either DMSO or 5μ M CHIR at 1:3 dilution. Media was changed at every third day during the expansion culture.

Embryoid body (EB) differentiation

To induce differentiation, EBs were dissociated into smaller clumps by a 5–7-min treatment with cold 0.25% Trypsin (Gibco), followed by trituration. Trypsin was neutralized with 1:1 addition of Trypsin inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich) and cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1000RPM. Cell pellets were resuspended in N2/B27 medium (without growth factors) and plated onto a Matrigel precoated plate. In our experience, the single cell suspension of 100-150 EBs provided enough cells to seed one 24-well plate. Spontaneous neural differentiation is induced when dissociated EBs are cultured in N2/B27 medium for 10 days. At the end of 10 days, multiple neural processes can be visualized by phase contrast microscopy and cultures were either fixed with 4% PFA for immunohistochemistry or lysed to extract RNA in RLT buffer (Qiagen).

Immunohistochemistry

For 2d differentiations, adherent cultures were first washed with 1xPBS and fixed with fresh cold 4% PFA for 10 min in the well. Following fixation, cultures were washed twice with 1xPBS to remove any remaining PFA. Cells were blocked with 1xPBS with 1% heat inactivated horse serum for 1 h and primary antibodies are added in the blocking solution for an overnight incubation at 4C. Following washes, species appropriate secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories) were added in 1x PBT (PBS +0.1% Triton 20) for 1hr. The cultures were then washed with 1x PBT to remove traces of secondary antibodies and counterstained with DAPI to stain nuclei. Plates were then imaged on Zeiss LSM800 inverted confocal microscope at 10× magnification.

For EBs, EBs were collected and fixed with fresh 4% PFA for 20 min at 4°C. EBs were then equilibrated with 30% sucrose for overnight at 4C and embedded into the OCT compound for cryo-sectioning. 12um sections were collected onto positive charged slides (VWR), incubated with the antibody blocking solution for 1hr, and then stained as above. Sections were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Prolong Gold and imaged using a Zeiss LSM800 inverted confocal microscope.

Quantitative (q) RT-PCR analysis

RNA was isolated with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, cat no. 74104), and converted to cDNA using Superscript IV First Strand Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qRT–PCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) on Roche 480 Light-cycler (Roche). The relative fold expression was calculated using $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method of comparing the expression of the target gene with that of the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh). All experiments were repeated at least 2-3 times (technical replicates) from at least two independent differentiations (biological replicates), and data is represented as mean \pm SEM. The primer sequences for all target genes are listed in the Table S5.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Image quantification

To count the number of nuclei, images were converted to 8bit image in ImageJ, their threshold intensity was adjusted to capture only the florescent nuclei, and the number of nuclei counted using the analyze particle tool in ImageJ. For each image, the area under the DAPI staining was determined using ImageJ and target cells were represented as % of cells in $100\mu m^2$ DAPI⁺ area.

Statistics

Data are represented as mean \pm SEM (standard error of the mean). Tests for statistical significance were performed using Prism software (version 9). Values of p < 0.05 were considered significant in all cases.